

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 23rd day of July 2026

Crl.M.P. No.2316 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004599-2026)

1. Thiruvengadam, aged 61 years, S/o.Vadivel Naicker,
2. Parthiban, aged 36 years, S/o.Thiruvengadam
3. Bharath, aged 34 years, S/o.Thiruvengadam
4. Vijayakumar, aged 33 years, S/o.Thiruvengadam

All are residing at: No.2/170, Vinayagar Koil Street,
Anumandhapuram Village,
Chengalpattu Taluk and District.

.. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Singaperumal Koil Police Station
Cr. No.396 of 2026
U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.S.Vinoth, the learned counsel is appearing for petitioners/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioners/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police

for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in connection with Cr. No.396 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; the injured person was discharged from the hospital; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that, in continuation to the property dispute, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy words and assaulted him with wooden log, caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the injured person was discharged from hospital.

6. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. The petitioners seemed to be the first offenders, no previous case is pending against them. It is seen, substantial portion of investigation has been completed. The petitioners have permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police station, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu within 15 days, is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the same Magistrate with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision *AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.*

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 23rd day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu.
The Inspector of Police, Singaperumal Koil Police Station.