

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Friday, the 10th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2275 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004544-2026)

Ponmani, aged 33 years, S/o.Ravi, .. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Peerankaranai Police Station,
Cr. No.167 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.126(2), 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of
Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.P.Agilan, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.126(2), 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002..

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 15.06.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 25 days; the injured person has been discharged from the hospital; the co-accused have been granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in Crl.O.P. No.15620 of 2026 on 22.06.2026 and yet another co-accused has been granted bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tambaram in Crl.M.P. No.1341 of 2026 dated 10.06.2026; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon her and she is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that at the instigation of other accused one Vasantha, the petitioner along with other accsued, called the defacto complainant as if a parcel is available for delivery. While the victim came out from house, the accused pesons abused him with filthy words, assaulted him using sports stick and causing injuries. While his sister came to his rescue, the accued persons have threatened them with dire consequences. He further submitted that the petitioner is the habitual offender, involved in seven other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is seen that the accused persons have assaulted the victim with sports stick thereby caused injuries. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that though the victim has been discharged from hospital, he sustained grievous injuries. It is further contended that the petitioner is the

habitual offender, involved in seven other previous cases. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, the petitioner seemed to be the habitual offender, involved in seven other previous cases and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 10th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.