

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 16th day of July 2026

Crl.M.P. No.2237 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004475-2026)

1. Dhanalakshmi, aged 51 years, W/o.Arumugam
2. Gowtham, aged 26 years, S/o.Arumugam
3. Meenachi, aged 24 years, D/o.Arumugam

All are residing at: No.4/3 (2), New street,
elamur, Ramapuram, Kancheepuram-603201.

.. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Melmaruvathur Police Station

Cr. No.154 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(B), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.G.Murali, the learned counsel is appearing for petitioners/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioners/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the offences U/s.296(B), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act in connection with the Cr. No.154 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; it is the case in counter to Cr. No.153 of 2026; no one sustained any serious injury in the alleged occurrence; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the petitioners and defacto complainant family are relatives. In continuation to property dispute, the petitioners and along with other accused abused the defacto complainant with filthy words, assaulted and also threatened them with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the no one sustained any serious injuries in the occurrence

6. From the submissions, it is seen that the occurrence has taken place on 24.06.2026. It is the case in counter to Cr.No.153 of 2026. It is submitted that no one sustained serious injury in the occurrence. The petitioners seemed to be the first offenders, no previous case is pending against them. Except the offence U/s.118(1) of BNS, 2023 other offences are bailable in nature. Taking into consideration the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner and considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam within 15 days, are ordered to be released on bail on their

execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam, with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 05.30 P.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision ***AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 16th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam.
The Inspector of Police, Melmaruvathur Police Station.