

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 16th day of July 2026

Crl.M.P. No.2236 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004461-2026)

1. Sasidharan, aged about 32 years, S/o.Sarangan,
2. Ajith Kumar, aged about 29 years, S/o.Sarangan,

Both are residing at:

No.35, Pillaiyar Kovil Backside,

Karuvadikuppam, Pondicherry District.

.. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,

Rep. by Inspector of Police,

Thiruporur Police Station

Cr. No.152 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.126(2), 310(1), 138 BNS, 2023 @ into Sec.140(4), 310(2) BNS, 2023.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.S.Chockalingam, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioners/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the offences U/s.126(2), 310(1), 138 BNS, 2023 @ into Sec.140(4), 310(2) BNS, 2023 in connection with the Cr. No.152 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply

received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; as a matter of fact, the defacto complainant's father Gomathy Sankar cheated one Senthil and other in the old car selling business at Virudhunagar and he escaped to his daughter's house; due to that the said Senthil and others have traced him, taken him in a car and asked their money; pursuant to that, the defacto complainant foisted this false case; in fact the said Gomathy Sankar himself involved in several cases of cheating at VirudhuNagar and Tirunelveli District; the co-accused has been granted bail by this court in Crl.M.P. No.1901 of 2026 dated 18.06.2026; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would re-iterate the prosecution version and prays for the dismissal of the petition. He would further admit that the defacto complainant's father has been rescued.

6. From the submissions, it is seen the occurrence has taken place on 03.06.2025. It is pleaded by the learned counsel for the petitioners that since the defacto complainant's father cheated so many persons in the car selling business, they abudcted him in order to get back their money. It is submitted that the kidnapped person has been rescued, therefore, substantial portion of investigation has been completed by this time. The co-accused has been granted bail by this court. Taking into consideration the victim has been rescued, the co-accused has been granted bail and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur within 15 days, are ordered to be released on bail on their execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur, with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure his identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision *AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.*

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 16th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To

The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur.
The Inspector of Police, Thiruporur Police Station.