

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Monday, the 13th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2197 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004391-2026)

Mahadevan, aged 81 years, S/o.Kannan,
No.23, Arunachalapuram 1st Street,
Adayar, Chennai - 600 020.

.. Petitioner/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Pallavaram police Station
Cr. No.17 of 2026
U/s.406, 420 of IPC.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.R.Vignesh, the learned counsel appearing for petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. prays to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.406, 420 of IPC in connection with the Cr. No.17 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. The learned counsel who appeared for the petitioner/accused would submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner; the

petitioner being the Real Estate business mediator, introduced the other accused Sridhar and Pitchai to the defacto complainant for the purpose of sale of property; except this the petitioner has no role or no knowledge in the offence; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and thereby prayed for enlargement on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the accused persons joined hands with each other, by showing a property, received money from the defacto complainant. However, the accused persons have delayed the execution of sale thereby cheated the defacto complainant. When he questioned the same, the accused have evaded him and prays for the dismissal of the petition. He would further admits that the petitioner is acted as mediator between the parties.

5. Considering the submissions of both sides, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, the petitioner seemed to be the mediator of the transactions, the petitioner seemed to be the senior citizen aged 81 years and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. In the result, this petition is allowed; the petitioner, in the event of arrest or on his surrender before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Pallavaram within 15 days, is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the same Magistrate;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identify proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity;

2. The petitioner shall report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. and 05.30 P.M. for a period of 30 days;
3. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or hamper the investigation in any manner;
4. The petitioner should comply with the conditions contemplated u/s 482 (2)(i), (ii) and (iii) of B.N.S.S.;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision ***AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 13th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Pallavaram.
The Inspector of Police, Pallavaram police Station