

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 16th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1952 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003941-2026)

Saran, aged 19 years, S/o Piragalathan,
Gangaianman Kovil Street,
Kappivakkam, Vedal, Cheyyur,
Chengalpattu District – 603 304.

.. Petitioner/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Cheyyur Police Station

Cr. No.127 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 115(2), 132, 351(2) of BNS - 2023 r/w sec. 4 of TNPHW Act.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.J.Dilliraj, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing the arguments and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. The petitioner apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 132, 351(2) of BNS - 2023 r/w sec. 4 of TNPHW Act in connection with the Cr. No.127 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply submitted. Heard both sides and perused the records.

4. The case of the prosecution is that, the defacto complainant is working as Sales Manager in the Government TASMACH shop. That on 28.05.2026 at 21.00 hours, this petitioner/accused along with another asked cool beer - the defacto complainant stated that not available at this time. Due to that this petitioner along with other picked up quarrel with him, uttered abusive words towards the defacto complainant, beaten him and also threatened him with dire consequences. When the same was informed to the Police Station – Police personnel came to the place and this petitioner picked up quarrel with him and also tried to attack them, thereby deterred them from discharging their official duties. Thereby, FIR has been registered in Cr. No.127 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police station.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner/accused is the innocent person, he has no way connected with the said offence; no one sustained any injuries in the alleged occurrence; the earlier bail application in Crl.M.P.No.1788/2026 was dismissed by this court on 04.06.2026; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this court and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner is involved in one other previous case. He also filed the written objection of the Inspector of Police. Moreover, there is no material change of circumstances

with the earlier bail application dismissed by this court. Hence he prays for the dismissal of the petition.

7. Taking into consideration of the gravity of the offence, nature of allegation levelled as against the petitioner/accused, the petitioner is involved in one other case, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court dismissed the earlier bail application in Crl.M.P. No.1788 of 2026 dated 04.06.2026, this court does not find any material change of circumstances with the earlier bail application. Hence, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 16th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.