

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Wednesday, the 24th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1906 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003862-2026)

1. Kingsley Paul, aged 22 years, S/o.Venkatesan,
2. Dinesh @ Dinesh Kumar, aged 27 years, S/o.Babu,
3. Janakiraman, aged 25 years, S/o.Babu,

.. Petitioners/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Perumbakkam Police Station,
Cr. No.187 of 2026
U/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.R.N.Ganesh, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

2. The petitioners/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the oral

reply submitted. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused would submit that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; the 1st and 2nd petitioners were arrested and remanded on 29.05.2026 and the 3rd petitioner was arrested and remanded on 01.06.2026; the petitioners/accused are under judicial custody for about 25 days; the injured person has been discharged from the hospital; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioners stating that the defacto complainant/victim is the accused in a honour killing case. In order to retaliate the same, the petitioners waylaid the victim, abused him with filthy words, brutally attacked him with beer bottles thereby caused greivous injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences. He further submitted that the 1st petitioner is involved in five other cases, the 2nd petitioner is involved in six other cases and the 3rd petitioner is involved in four other cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that, in order to retaliate the murder of one Praven and his wife Sharmila, the petitioners/accused brutally attacked the victim with beer bottles thereby caused grievous injuries. It is pleaded by the learned Public Prosecutor that both the victim and the petitioners are the notorious in the locality, if the petitioners are released on bail, they will tamper the evidence and threaten the witness thereby hamper the investigation process.

It is seen the 1st petitioner is involved in five other cases, the 2nd petitioner is involved in six other cases and the 3rd petitioner is involved in four other cases. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners and their previous antecedents, nature of injuries caused to the defacto complainant, possibility of tamper with the evidence and threaten the witness, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 24th day of June 2026.

Princial District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.