

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 25th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1861 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003827-2026)

Deepak, aged 26 years, S/o.Selvam,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Adambakkam Police Station,

Cr. No.214 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.121(1) of BNs, 2023, Sec.8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A), 29(1) of NDPS Act,
1985 and Sec.3 of TNPPDL Act, 1992

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.S.Dhatchanamoorthy, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/ accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused has been charged for the alleged offences punishable U/s.121(1) of BNs, 2023, Sec.8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A), 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985 and Sec.3 of TNPPDL Act, 1992.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 25.05.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 32 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that on 25.05.2026 the respondent police arrested the present petitioner, who is involved in another case in Cr. No.136 of 2026. Pursuant to that his disclosure statement has been recorded, based on which, 500 grams of contraband namely Ganja was seized from a secluded place under Mahazar. At that time, in order to escape from the hands of police, the petitioner/accused kicked the police vehicle down. Due to that a police constable fell down and sustained injuries on his hand. Further, the side mirror of his two wheeler got damaged, thereby the accused had caused damage and loss to the government. He further submitted that the petitioner is the drug peddler, involved in fifteen other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that while the respondent police tried to secure the petitioner/accused, who involved in another case, the petitioner pushed down the police constable thereby caused injuries to him and also damaged his two wheeler. It is further alleged that 500 grams of ganja also seized from a secluded place. It is a settled principle, while considering the bail application of an accused, his previous antecedents must have also been taken into account. It is pleaded by the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner is the drug peddler, involved in fifteen other previous cases.

Taking into consideration the gravity of offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused and his previous antecedents and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 25th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.