

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Monday, the 15th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1858 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003824-2026)

Sanjay Chowdhury, S/o.Neraj Chowdhury Kalna,
Aged 25 years, .. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thiruporur Police Station
Cr. No.139 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.77 BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act, 2002

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.J.Dilliraj, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner/accused U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.77 BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act, 2002.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent of offence; he is no way connected with the said offence; he was arrested and remanded on 18.05.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 29 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by

any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that the victim and her family members came in a theme Park. While the victim go to the wash room, she found that a mobile was placed there. Upon enquiry, it is came to light that the petitioner placed the phone in the wash room and recorded the videographs of the victim and two other ladies. The investigation is not yet completed. The petitioner belonged to West Bengal, if he released on bail, he will flee to his native place thereby hampered the investigation and hence, he prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. Cursory perusal of records would indicate that the occurrence has taken place on 17.05.2026 and the accused is in judicial custody from 18.05.2026. It is pleaded by the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner belonged to West Bengal, the investigation is not yet completed, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will flee to his native palce thereby, hampered the investigation. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, investigation seemed to be not yet completed, possibility of the petitioner's flee from justice as stated by the prosecution and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 15th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.