

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 18th day of June 2026

Crl.M.P. No.1943 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003803-2026)

Gopinath, aged 19 years, S/o.Angappan

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thiruporur Police Station,
Cr. No.152 of 2026
U/s.126(2), 310(1), 138 of BNS, 2023 @ into Sec.140(4),
310(2) of BNS, 2023. (*)

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.V.Sunitha, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.126(2), 310(1), 138 of BNS, 2023 @ into Sec.140(4), 310(2) of BNS, 2023. (*)

(*) *Amended as per the order in Memo dated 19.06.2026.*

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the

petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 05.06.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 14 days; the petitioner is the acting car driver; he has no knowledge about the occurrence and he has no role played in the offence; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the defacto complainant's father Gomathy Sankar is the native of Virudhunagar; he has cheated some persons at Virudhunagar in his old car selling business, and escaped to his daughter's house at Chennai. Due to that the affected persons have traced him and on the occurrence day, the accused persons have kidnapped him in a secluded place in order to get back their money and prays for the dismissal of the petition. He would further submit that the defacto complainant's father has been rescued.

6. Cursory perusal of records would go to show that the occurrence has taken place on 03.06.2026 and the petitioner was arrested on 05.06.2026. Total period of custody exceeds 14 days. It is submitted that the kidnaped person/victim has been rescued, therefore, substantial portion of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. The petitioner seemed to be the habitual offender, no previous case is pending against him. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, stage of investigation and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. **In the result, this petition is allowed;** The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur, with further condition that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 18th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur.
The Inspector of Police, Thiruporur Police Station.
The Superintendent, District Jail, Chengalpattu.