

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 09th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1798 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003676-2026)

Dinesh, aged 25 years, S/o.Thangaraj,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Traffic Investigation Wing, Pallikaranai
Cr. No.352 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.125(b), 281 of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.134(a), 134(b) and 187 of
Motor Vehicles Act *Altered* into Sec.105, 281 of BNS, 2023 and
Sec.134(a), 134(b), 181(3), 187 of the Motor Vehicles Act.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me,
M/s.S.Saravana Perumal, the learned counsel is appearing for petitioner/
accused, and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is
appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing the arguments and
upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed by the petitioner/accused U/s.483 of BNSS
2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences
punishable U/s.125(b), 281 of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.134(a), 134(b) and 187
of Motor Vehicles Act *Altered* into Sec.105, 281 of BNS, 2023 and
Sec.134(a), 134(b), 181(3), 187 of the Motor Vehicles Act.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested on 28.05.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 13 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that on 22.05.2026 at 18.00 hours in the evening, the petitioner drove a Car in a rash, speedy and negligent manner and hit against a motorist who travelling behind his car, thereby caused grievous injuries. Later, the victim died in the hospital. He further submitted that the petitioner is not have any valid driving licence after the accident, the petitioner, without giving medical assistance to the victim or gave information to the police, escaped from the SOC and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that the petitioner/accused drove a car in a rash, speedy and negligent manner and hit against the victim thereby caused death of him. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner is not possessed with any valid driving licence. It is further submitted that after the accident, without giving medical assistance to the victim or gave information to the police, the petitioner had escaped from the SOC. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, conduct of the petitioner, he has

not possessed with a valid Driving Licence, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 09th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.