

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Friday, the 12th day of June 2026

Crl.M.P. No.1801 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003675-2026)

1. Gowri, aged about 58 years, W/o Subramani @ Subramaniyan,
School Street, Kappivakkam Village,
Vedal Post, Cheyyur Taluk, Chengalpattu District.
 2. Dinakaran, aged about 41 years, S/o Subramani @ Subramaniyan,
No.17/1, Santhai Thoppu Street,
Marakkanam Village and Post,
Tindivanam Taluk, Villupuram District.
 3. Ezhumalai, aged about 65 years, S/o Gurusamy,
School Street, Kappivakkam Village,
Vedal Post, Cheyyur Taluk, Chengalpattu District.
 4. Sankar, aged about 37 years, S/o Ezhumalai,
No.4/296, 14th Street, MMDA Colony, Maduravayal,
Thiruvallur District.
 5. Santhosh, aged about 35 years, S/o Subramani @ Subramaniyan,
School Street, Kappivakkam Village,
Vedal Post, Cheyyur Taluk, Chengalpattu District.
- .. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Chunambedu Police Station

Cr. No.83 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.191(2) and 296(b) of BNS - 2023 r/w sec. 4 of TNPHW Act.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.B.Punitharajan, the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s. 191(2) and 296(b) of BNS - 2023 r/w sec. 4 of TNPHW Act in connection with the Cr. No.83 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides and perused the records.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; no one sustained any injury in the alleged occurrence; the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that, due to previous enmity regarding land dispute, that on 26.05.2026 att 08.00 a.m. these petitioners uttered abusive words towards the defacto complainant and her family members, tried to assaulted them and degraded her modesty and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that no one sustained any injuries in the alleged occurrence.

6. Taking into consideration of the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners, the petitioners are seemed to be the first offenders, there is no previous case pending against them; they have permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police station, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur within 15 days from today, are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur, with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are further directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision *AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.*

Pronounced by me in open court, on this the 12th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur.
The Inspector of Police, Chunambedu Police Station.