

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

Date: Friday, the 05<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1716 of 2026**  
**(CNR No. TNCG01-003570-2026)**

1. Abi @ Subash, aged 21 years, S/o.Kannan,
2. S.Anand @ Karuppu Anand, aged 23 years, S/o.Suresh,
3. J.Stephen, aged 24 years, S/o.Jagadish,
4. Pachaiyappan, aged 24 years, S/o.Ramesh,

.. Petitioners/Accused.

**//Versus//**

State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Chitlapakkam Police Station,  
Cr. No.109 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 109, 115(2), 126(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.D.Arun Kumar, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

**ORDER**

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

2. The petitioners/accused are facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 109, 115(2), 126(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply

received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused would submit that the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case; they were arrested and remanded on 06.05.2026 and they are under judicial custody for the past 31 days; the injured person has been discharged from the hospital; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that on 03.05.2026 at 07.30 P.M. in the evening, due to prior enmity, the petitioners along with other accused took the defacto complainant to a secluded place in Autho, where they restrained him, uttered filthy words towards him, beaten him with hands, indiscriminately attacked him with knife thereby caused multiple grievous injuries all over his body and also threatened him with dire consequences. He would further submit that the petitioners No.1, 2 & 4 are involved in two other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is seen the occurrence has taken place on 03.05.2026 and the accused are in custody from 06.05.2026. Though the learned counsel for the petitioners pleaded that the injured person has been discharged from hospital, on perusal of FIR and the photographs submitted by the prosecution, it appears that the defacto complainant sustained multiple grievous cut injuries. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioners No.1, 2 & 4 are involved in two other previous cases. Taking

into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, nature of injuries sustained on the defacto complainant, previous antecedents of the petitioners No.1, 2 & 4, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

***Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 05<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026.***

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.