

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

Date: Saturday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1720 of 2026**  
(CNR No. TNCG01-003559-2026)

Sakthi Ganapathi.K, S/o.Karuppanan

Aged about 30 years,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

**//Versus//**

State of Tamilnadu,

Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Pallikaranai Police Station,

Cr. No.234 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of BNS, 2023

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.M.Dinesh, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

**ORDER**

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 25.05.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 13 days; the injured person has been discharged from the hospital; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner and the defacto complainant belonged to other district and they stayed within the limit of the respondent police and working. On the occurrence day, the defacto complainant called the petitioner/accused for drinking liquor, however, he refused. Due to that, during night hours dispute arose between them and the petitioner along with other accused abused him with filthy words, assaulted him with wooden log thereby caused injuries, threatened him with dire consequences. Though the victim discharged from the hospital, 42 stitches have been made for the injuries and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that, since the petitioner/accused refused to consume liquor, dispute arose between the petitioner and the defacto complainant and that the petitioner along with other accused have indiscriminately attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log thereby caused grievous injuries. It is pleaded by the learned Public Prosecutor that 42 stitches have been made for the injuries sustained on the defacto complainant. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled

against the petitioner, nature of injuries caused to the victim, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, at this stage. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

*Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 06<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026.*

Princial District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.