

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 11th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1723 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003556-2026)

Selvam, aged 42 years, S/o. Nagan .. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thuraipakkam Police Station,
Cr. No.137 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.296(b), 115(2), 117(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 *altered* into
Sec.103(1) of BNS, 2023.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.N.Senthilkumar, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 117(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 *altered* into Sec.103(1) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; the petitioner is

working in a TASMACH Bar; on 05.05.2026, in continuation to the dispute took place in the Bar, the petitioner pushed down the victim accordingly he sustained injury; since the injury is simple in nature, he was granted bail on the same day of his arrest; however after a lapse of four days, the victim was admitted in the hospital for his head ailments, later he died in the hospital; pursuant to which, the charges has been altered into Sec.103(1) of BNS; thereafter the petitioner was arrested on 09.05.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 34 days; most of the investigation has been completed by this time and nothing has to be recovered from the petitioner; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that initially in continuation to the dispute took place in the TASMACH Bar, the petitioner had assaulted and pushed him down due to which he sustained injuries. However, after four days the victim experienced head-ache and he admitted in the hospital. Later he died and prays for the dismissal of the petition. He would further submit that most of the investigation has been completed by this time.

6. Cursory perusal of records it is seen the occurrence has taken place on 05.05.2026 and the petitioner/accused is in judicial custody from the 09.05.2026. Total period of custody exceeds 34 days. It is submitted that substantial portion of investigation has been completed. Since the petitioner has permanent residence, there is no possibility of flee from judicial process. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, substantial portion of

investigation has been completed and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Alandur, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity;
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper with the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in *AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala*.

Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 11th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Alandur.
The Inspector of Police, Thuraipakkam Police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.