

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 09th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1707 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003542-2026)

Kanniyappan, aged 35 years, S/o.Boopathi, .. Petitioner/Accused.
//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thirukazhukundram Police Station

Cr. No.239 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.296(b), 329(4), 118(1), 75, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu
Prohibition of Harassment of Women (Amendment) Act, 2002.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.B.Jayakumar, the learned counsel is appearing for petitioner/accused, and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing the arguments and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed by the petitioner/accused U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 329(4), 118(1), 75, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women (Amendment) Act, 2002.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is no way connected with the said offence; he was arrested on 30.05.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 11 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide

by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours. While the defacto complainant was bathing and about to change her dress, the petitioner entered into her house and tried to misbehave with her. Since she shouted, he fled away. Thereafter he seeking appology for his behaviour. Even thereafter, he not only continued his attitude. When the victim's husband questioned the same, the pettioner abused him with filthy words and assaulted him with wooden log and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that while the defacto complainant was taking bath, the petitioner entered into her house and misbehaved with her. It is further alleged that when her husband questioned the same, the petitioner/accused not only abused him, but also assaulted him with wooden log. It appears that the offence committed by the petitioner/accused is offence against women. It is the apprehension of the prosecution that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will indulge in similar type of offences and threatened the witness. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner and his conduct, the strong objection made by the prosecution and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 09th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.