

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Wednesday, the 03rd day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1704 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003538-2026)

Nitheen, aged 19 years, S/o.Senthil,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Cr. No.371 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.115(2), 124(2), 296(b), 78(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of
TNPHW Act and Sec.66 E of I.T. Act.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.R.Suresh, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.115(2), 124(2), 296(b), 78(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act and Sec.66 E of I.T. Act.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 26.05.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 9 days; the petitioner is the college student and the dispute took place in the course of the love with the defacto complainant escalated into registration of FIR; most of the investigation has been completed; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the victim girl and the petitioner are loved together and already they have physical relationship. Due to the dispute, the victim girl decided to leave the company of the petitioner, but petitioner used to threaten her as if he would circulate their close relationship photograph in the social media. On the occurrence day, quarrel arose between them and the victim had broken his cellphone, due to which, the petitioner threatened her as if he will pour acid on her and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. Cursory perusal of records would go to show that the occurrence has taken place on 24.05.2026 and the accused is in custody from 26.05.2026. Total period of custody exceeds 9 days, therefore substantial portion of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. The petitioner seemed to be the first offender, no previous case is pending against him. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused, stage of investigation and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court (Magisterial Level), Chengalpattu, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity;
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused should not tamper with the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 03rd day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court (Magisterial Level),
Chengalpattu.
The Inspector of Police, Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station
The Superintendent, District Jail, Chengalpattu.