

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 09th day of June 2026

Crl.M.P. No.1728 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003535-2026)

Ravichandran @ Mayee, S/o.Sahadevan, (*)
Aged about 38 years,
No.82, Udaiyar Street, Manapakkam Village,
Chengalpattu Taluk, Chengalpattu Dist - 603 111.

.. Petitioner/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station
Cr. No.341 of 2026
U/s.296(b), 329(4), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.C.Umakanthan, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

O R D E R

This petition is filed by the petitioner/accused U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

2. The petitioner/accused apprehends arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 329(4), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in connection with Cr. No.341 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

() Amended as per the order in Memo dated 09.06.2026.14*

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply submitted. Heard both sides. Records perused.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner/accused is innocent of offence, he is falsely implicated in this case; no one sustained any serious injury in the alleged occurrence; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that while the defacto complainant was working in his field, the petitioner came there and picked up quarrel with him, abused him with filthy words, assaulted him with knife thereby caused simple injuries, damage his two wheeler and also threatened him with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition. He would further submit that the defacto complainant has not sustained any serious injury in the occurrence.

6. It is alleged that in the petitioner had abused assaulted the defacto complainant and caused simple injuries. The learned Public Prosecutor admits that the injury sustained on the victim is simple in nature and he discharged from hospital, therefore, substantial portion of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. The petitioner seemed to be the first offender, no previous case is pending against him. Taking into consideration the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, injured person has been discharged from hospital and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioner, in the event

of arrest or his surrender before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu within 15 days, is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identify proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity;
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent station daily at 10.30 A.M. for 15 days;
3. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper with the witnesses or hamper the investigation in any manner;
4. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision ***AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 09th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu.
The Inspector of Police, Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station