

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 04th day of June 2026

Crl.M.P. No.1679 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003488-2026)

Yuga @ Yuganathan, S/o Natarajan,
aged about 20 years,
No.6/128, Bagavan Koil Street,
Palayanur Village and Post,
Madurantakam Taluk,
Chengalpattu District.

.. Petitioner/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Padalam Police Station
Cr. No.163 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.190, 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS –2023 r/w sec. 4 of
TNPHW Act, 2002 (*).

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.J.Prathap, the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

2. The Petitioner apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS –2023 r/w sec. 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002 (*) in connection with the Cr. No.163 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides and perused the records.

(*) Amended as per order in memo dated 04.06.2026.

4. The learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that, the Petitioner is innocent person, he is no way connected with the said offence; injured discharged from the hospital; the Petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that, in continuation of wordy quarrel regarding the death ceremony of one Ilakiya who is the daughter of the defacto complainant, that on 18.05.2026 at 02.30 p.m. in the day hours, the Petitioner along with others uttered abusive words towards the defacto complainant and his family members, beaten and assaulted them with wooden log, thereby caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the injured were discharged from the hospital.

6. Taking into consideration of the nature of allegations levelled against the Petitioner, injured were discharged from the hospital; the Petitioner has seemed to be the first offender, there is no previous case pending against him; he has permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police station, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The Petitioner, in the event of arrest or on his surrender before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam within 15 days from today, is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam, with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The Petitioner/accused is further directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The Petitioner should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The Petitioner/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision *AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.*

Pronounced by me in open court, on this the 04th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam.
The Inspector of Police, Padalam Police Station.