

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 04th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1668 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003477-2026)

Sanjay Kumar, S/o.Sureshkumar

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kannagi Nagar Police Station,
Cr. No.101 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.191(2), 191(3). 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of BNS,
2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.A.Esaky Pandey, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.191(2), 191(3). 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 03.05.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 33 days; the injured person has been discharged from the hospital; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that in order to retaliate the murder of one Akash, the petitioner along with other accsued - who are the friend of the said Akash, waylaided the defacto complainant, beaten him with hands and caught hold him, attacked him with knife and cuased injuries. While his parents tried to secure him, the accused have also assaulted them with knife thereby caused injuries to them and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the injured persons were discharged from the hospital.

6. From the submissions, it is alerged that since the defacto complainant involved in the murder of one Akash, the petitioner along with other accused have assaulted the defacto complainant with knife thereby caused injuries. It is submitted that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. The petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 33 days, therefore, substantial portion of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. The petitioner has permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, injured person has been discharged from the hospital, facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity;
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused should not tamper with the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 04th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To

The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur.
The Inspector of Police, Kannagi Nagar Police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison - II, Puzhal, Chennai.