

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Saturday, the 06th day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1583 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-003395-2026)

Karthick, aged 40 years, S/o.Chandrasekaran,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Chengalpattu,
Cr. No.08 of 2026
U/s.64, 75, 315(3) of BNS, 2023

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.G.Murali, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.64, 75, 315(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 10.05.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 28 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that the defacto complainant and the other victim girl are doing Hotel Management course in a college, where the petitioner is working as Chef. On the pretext of study, the petitioner/accused called the defacto complainant to his room, where he forcibly raped her and also threatened her to not to disclose the same to any body. Further, in a same manner, on 15.04.2026, the petitioner called the another victim girl to his room, where he sexually harassed her. He further submitted that the victim's statement under 183(5) of BNSS, 2023 is not yet recorded and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that, the petitioner, using his dominant position, forcibly raped the victim girl and sexually harassed the another victim - who studied under him. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that the victim's statement under 183(5) of BNSS, 2023 is not yet recorded, therefore, it seems the investigation is not yet completed. It is further pleaded that, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail at this stage, he will threaten or influence the witnesses. Taking into consideration the gravity of offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, the victim's statement under 183(5) of BNSS, 2023 is not yet recorded, investigation is

yet to be completed, possibility of influence / threaten the witness as stated by the prosecution, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, at this stage. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 06th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.