

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Wednesday, the 18th day of March 2026

Crl.M.P. No.820 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-001852-2026)

Vignesh, aged about 22 years, S/o.Raja, .. Petitioner/Accused.
//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Palavanthangal Police Station,
Cr. No.8 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 309(4), 311, 125, 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.M.Elangovan, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 309(4), 311, 125, 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides. Records are perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 14.01.2026; the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P. No.326 of 2026 dated 06.02.2026; now the petitioner is in

judicial custody for the past 64 days; already the petitioner was detained under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982, however, the same has been revoked by the Advisory Board in G.O.Rt. No.1606 dated 10.03.2026; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the petitioner along with other accused restrained the defacto complainant and demanded money. Since he refused, the accused persons uttered abusive words towards him, beaten him, robbed a sum of Rs.800/- from his possession at knife point and also threatened him with dire consequences, damaged the shop articles thereby caused mischief to the tune of Rs.700/- and fled away and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the detention order has been revoked by the Advisory Board.

6. From the submissions, it is seen the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 64 days. It is seen the detention order has been revoked by the Advisory Board. The petitioner has permanent residence at Kannavakkam Village therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, detention order has been revoked by the Advisory Board and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. **In the result, this petition is allowed;** The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Alandur, with further condition that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce a copy of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused should not tamper the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 18th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Alandur.
The Inspector of Police, Palavanthangal Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.