

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

Dated: Thursday, the 02<sup>nd</sup> day of April 2026

**CrI.M.P. No.826 of 2026**  
(CNR No. TNCG01-001845-2026)

Prakash, aged 36 years, S/o.Gopinath

.. Petitioner/Accused.

**//Versus//**

State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Semmanchery Police Station.

Cr. No.10 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 115(2), 309(4), 311, 125, 324(4), 351(3) of BNS, 2023

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.P.Hari Ganesh, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

**ORDER**

This petition is filed U/s.480 and 483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 309(4), 311, 125, 324(4), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner/accused has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested

and remanded on 14.01.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 79 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that on 13.01.2026 at 10.00 hours in the night, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid the informant and demanded money. Since he refused, the accused uttered abusive words towards him, beaten him, extorted a sum of Rs.2,700/- and a cellphone from him at knife point, threatened him and fled away. He further submitted that the petitioner is the habitual offender, involved in eight other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that the petitioner along with other accused have extorted a sum of Rs.2,700/- from the informant at knife point. It is the submission of the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner is the habitual offender, involved in eight other previous cases. It is the apprehension of the prosecution that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he would indulge in similar type of offences. Taking into consideration the gravity of offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused, he seemed to be the habitual offender, involved in eight other cases and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

*Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 02<sup>nd</sup> day of April 2026.*

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.