

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 26th day of March 2026

Crl.M.P. No.837 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-001842-2026)

1. Abilash, aged about 30 years, S/o Anbu,
No.3, School Street, Kudumiandi Thoppu,
Sholinganallur, Chengalpattu District – 600 119.
2. Jagan, aged about 30 years, S/o Selvaraj,
No.26, Dr.Ambethkar Strteet, Kudumiandi Thoppu,
Sholinganallur, Chengalpattu District – 600 119.

.. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Kanathur Police Station

Cr. No.48 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS - 2023.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.P.Ashok kumar, the learned counsel appearing for petitioners/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing the arguments and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s. 296(b), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS - 2023 in connection with the Cr. No.48 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply

received. Heard both sides and perused the records.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; co-accused released on bail by the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Shollingnallur in Crl.M.P.No.219/2016 dated 23.03.2026, injured was discharged from the hospital; the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that while the defacto complainant along with others participated in a volleyball tournament, these petitioners and others picked up a quarrel, uttered abusive words towards the defacto complainant and others, assaulted them with deadly weapons, thereby caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the injured were discharged from the hospital

6. Taking into consideration of the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners, co-accused was released on bail by the Magistrate Court; injured were discharged from the hospital; the petitioners are seemed to be the first offenders, there is no previous case pending against them; they have permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police station, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the District Munsif cum Judicial

Magistrate, Shollingnallur within 15 days from today, are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Shollingnallur, with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are further directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision *AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.*

Pronounced by me in open court, on this the 26th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Shollingnallur.
The Inspector of Police, Kanathur Police Station.