

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Wednesday, the 15th day of April 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.828 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-001841-2026)

Muthueswaran, aged 36 years, S/o.Palaniyandi

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tambaram CCB Cyber Crime (CCD-1)
Cr. No.23 of 2026
U/s.61(2) r/w. 318(4) of BNS, 2023.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.E.Abdul Rahimon, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed by the petitioner/accused U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.61(2) r/w. 318(4) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The case of the prosecution as projected in the FIR is that the

defacto complainant is doctor by profession. The petitioner along with other accused got acquaintance with the defacto complainant and lured and induced him that he will get huge returns, if he invested money in the crypto currenty business, thereby, persuaded him to invest money to the tune of Rs.3,00,00,000/-. However, they failed to to give profit as assured thereby cheated him. While the defacto complainant asked his money, the accused not only evaded him but also threatened him, thereby cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.3,00,00,000/-. Thereby a case in Cr. No.23 of 2026 has been registred on the file of respondent Police Station.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case, he is no way connected with the said offence; the petitioner was arrested and remanded on 05.03.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 42 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

6. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would re-iterate the prosecution case. He would further submit that initally, the respondent police initiated proposal under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 against the petitioner, however, the said proposal was dropped. Moreover, the investigation is not yet completed and if he is released on bail, he will tamper the witnesses and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

7. It is seen, on the pretext of investing crypto online business, the accused have looted Rs.3 Crores from the defacto complainant. It is seen the petitioner is under judicial custody for the past 42 days. Though the

prosecution claimed that the investigation is not yet completed, they have not chosen to take the petitioner on custody for interrogation. It is further seen the transaction between the parties are seemes to be the genuine legal business investment. Moreover, the petitioner has permanent residence at Nemilichery, Chrompet, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. He seemed to be the first offender, no previous case is reported pending against him. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused, the transaction seemed to be the legal money investment business, the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. In the result, this petition is allowed; the petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Alandur, with further conditions that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity;
2. The petitioner/accused are further directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 15th day of April 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Alandur.

The Inspector of Police, Tambaram CCB Cyber Crime (CCD-1)

The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.