

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

Dated: Wednesday, the 01<sup>st</sup> day of April 2026

**CrI.M.P. No.825 of 2026**  
(CNR No. TNCG01-001837-2026)

Harish, aged 34 years, S/o.Sudhakar,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

**//Versus//**

State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Chrompet Police Station.

Cr. No.25 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.316(2), 318(4) of BNS, 2023

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.A.Manimaran, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

**ORDER**

This petition is filed U/s.480 and 483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.316(2), 318(4) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner is doing oil brokerage business. He lured and induced the defacto complainant and on

the pretext of obtaining huge profits, the petitioner has received a sum of Rs.13,00,000/- from the defacto complainant. However, he not only failed to invest money as assured but also evaded the defacto complainant thereby cheated him. Thereby, a case has been registered in Cr. No.25 of 2026 of the file of the respondent police station.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner/accused has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 23.02.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 38 days; best part of the investigation ought to have been completed by this time; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon her and she is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

6. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, reiterated the prosecution case and objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. From the submissions, it is seen the transactions took place through bank transactions. It is further seen the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 38 days, therefore substantial portion of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. The transactions are seemed to be bank transactions and the material evidences are in documentary in nature, therefore, there is no possibility for tampering the evidences. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner, substantial portion of investigation has been completed and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

**8. In the result, this petition is allowed;** The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with

two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Pallavaram, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. and 05.30 P.M. for 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond and shall not tamper the witnesses either during investigation or trial;
4. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in *AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala*.

*Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 01<sup>st</sup> day of April 2026.*

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

To  
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Pallavaram.  
The Inspector of Police, Chrompet Police Station.  
The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.