

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Dated: Wednesday, the 18th day of March 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.804 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-001810-2026)

Dhasan, aged about 32 years, S/o.Renu

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Neelankarai,
Cr. No.3 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.294(b), 506(i), 405, 498(A) of IPC and Sec.3(1)(r) of SC/ST
(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.J.Venkatesan, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.D.R.Rajarajan, the learned Special Public Prosecutor appeared for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.187(3) of BNSS, 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.294(b), 506(i), 405, 498(A) of IPC and Sec.3(1)(r) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

3. Notice given to the Special Public Prosecutor for the State and the

victim; reply received. Heard the submissions. Records are perused.

4. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant/victim belonged to SC/ST community, the petitioner belonged to other community. While the petitioner and the victim were working in a same company, they got acquaintance and later both fell into love together. While so, on the pretext of marriage and also by inducing the victim and tying *thali* to her, the petitioner/accused had physical contact with her, due to which she became pregnant. Knowing the same, by seeking time for performing marriage, the petitioner/accused asked her for abortion, however, he refused. However, he administering tablets to her thereby succeeds in his attempt to abort the same. Further in order to settling his loan, the petitioner/accused received a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and a gold Doller from her. Later, the petitioner not only evaded her and refused to marry her for the reason she belonged to SC/ST community but also threatened her by stating derogative statements about her community. When she brought the matter to the knowledge of his mother and brother, both also abused her by mentioning her caste name and threatened her. Out of the harassment and the atrocities made by the accused persons, the victim tried to end her life twice, however she luckily saved by her parents. Thereby the FIR has been registred in Cr. No.3 of 2026 on the file of All Women Police Station, Neelankarai.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioners/accused; the petitioner was arrested and remanded on 08.03.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 12 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to

be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying for enlargement on bail.

6. The learned Special Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, vehemently objected to grant bail to the petitioner by stating that on the pretext of marriage, the petitioner had physical contact with the victim thereby made her pregnant. Later he refused to marry her. When she questioned the petitioner/accused and his brother and mother had used to abused and harassed the victim by mentioning her caste name. Moreover, the petitioner deliberately received a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and a gold Doller from the victim and failed to repay it to her. Out of the atrocities and harassment made by the accused persons, the victim tried to commit suicide twice. Moreover, the investigation is only at the initial stage and therefore prays for the dismissal of the petition.

7. Stuatutory notice has been served to the defacto complainant/victim. She made her personel apperance before this court and vehemently objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. It is alleged that the petitioner had induced the victim and had physical contact with her, due to which she got pregnant. While the victim asked for marriage, the petitioner not only refused but also teased her that she belonged to Scheduled Caste community. It is also seen that while the victim contacted the petitioner's family members, his brother and mother also made abusive comments about her community name. It is further seen that the petitioner obtained a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and a gold *Doller* from the victim and later deliberately failed to return back the same. It is submitted by the learned Special Public Prosecutor that the investigation is

only at the preliminary stage. Taking into consideration the gravity of offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused, the investigation seemed to be only at the preliminary stage and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 18th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.