

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

Dated: Monday, the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March 2026.

**CrI.M.P. No.829 of 2026**  
(CNR No. TNCG01-001808-2026)

Samanthi, aged 46 years, W/o.Kamal,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

**//Versus//**

State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Kelambakkam Police Station,  
Cr. No.71 of 2026  
U/s.3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 r/w.  
Sec.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.B.Logendiran, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused, M/s.D.R.Rajarajan, the learned Special Public Prosecutor appeared for the respondent/complainant, and M/s.B.Mohan, the learned counsel for the victim/mother of the defacto complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

**ORDER**

This petition is filed U/s.187(3) of BNSS, 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

**2.** The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 r/w. Sec.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023.

**3.** Notice given to the Special Public Prosecutor for the State and the

victim; reply received. Heard the submissions. Records are perused.

4. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is belonged SC/ST community and she is the 10<sup>th</sup> standard student. The petitioner's daughter also studying 11<sup>th</sup> standard in the same school. Already dispute arose between the school students. In continuation to that, on the occurrence day, the petitioner along with other accused have restrained the victim student, uttered abusive words by stating her caste name, assaulted her, punched her on his lower stomach, caused injuries and also threatened her. Thereby the FIR has been registred in Cr. No.71 of 2026 on the file of Kelambakkam Police Station.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner/accused; she was arrested and remanded on 11.03.2026 and she is under judicial custody for the past 13 days; the injured person has been discharged from the hospital; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon her and she is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying for enlargement on bail.

6. The learned Special Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, reiterated the prosecution case and objected to grant bail to the petitioner. He also filed the written objection of the prosecution.

7. Stuatutory notice has been served to the victim/mother of the defacto complainant. She made her appearance through her counsel and objected to grant bail to the petitioner. She also filed her objection in writing.

8. From the submissions and records, it appears that the dispute took place between the school going students escalated to registration of case

under the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act. Though the victim/mother of the defacto complainant pleaded in her counter that the Investigating Officer has not been added as party, it appears that the written objection on the side of the prosecution itself given by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kelambakkam Range - who is the investigating officer of this case. It is pleaded by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner/accused is a highly illiterate person. Since the petitioner/accused and the victim are stranger for each other, the petitioner had no knowledge about the caste of the victim. Whether the petitioner uttered words abusing the victim girl by using caste name can be decided only after a full-pledged trial. Moreover, the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 13 days. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, injured person has been discharged from the hospital and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

**9. In the result, this petition is allowed;** The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on her execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of this Court, with further condition that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce a copy of any identity proof to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days
3. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

4. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in *AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala*.

*Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March 2026.*

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

To

The Inspector of Police, Kelambakkam Police Station.

The Superintendent, Central Prison (Women), Puzhal, Chennai.