

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

Date: Monday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

**CrI.M.P. No.674 of 2026** (P.R.C. No.79 of 2008 on the file of the  
Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu)  
(CNR No. TNCG01-001572-2026)

Ganapathi, aged about 51 years, S/o.Palanivel .. Petitioner/Accused.  
//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,  
Cr. No.104 of 2008 .. Respondent/Complainant  
U/s.341, 394 r/w. 397, 506(ii) of IPC

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.S.Guna, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

**ORDER**

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.341, 394 r/w. 397, 506(ii) of IPC.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and oral reply submitted. Heard both sides. Records are perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner; on executino of NBW, the petitioner was arrested and remanded on 27.02.2026 and he is under

judicial custody for the past 18 days; the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner by stating that it is the case of the year 2008. The petitioner/accused had absconded himself for a very long time and hence, the case is not yet committed to the court of sessions and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. From the submissions and from the perusal of records it appears that in this case totally two accused implicated in this case. Since the accused persons have absconded themselves, the case is not able to be committed to the court of sessions. It is further seen the petitioner/accused has been absconded himself for a long time. It is the apprehension of the prosecution that the petitioner belonged to Perambalur, if he is released on bail, again he will abscond thereby the committal proceedings will get stalled. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused, the petitioner has absconded himself for a long period of time, the strong objection raised by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

***Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.***

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.