

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Dated: Tuesday, the 17th day of March 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.683 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-001481-2026)

Balakrishnan, S/o.Poolagapandiyan
Aged about 28 years,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Maraimalai Nagar Police Station,
Cr. No.430 of 2025

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.333, 296(b), 109(1), 103(1) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.3(2)(v) of SC/ST
(Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.S.Vishnu, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/ accused and of M/s.D.R.Rajaraman, the learned Special Public Prosecutor appeared for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.187(3) of BNSS, 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the offences U/s.333, 296(b), 109(1), 103(1) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.3(2)(v) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

3. Notice given to the Special Public Prosecutor for the State and the

victim; reply received. Heard the submissions. Records are perused.

4. The case of the prosecution is that the the defacto complainant and the deceased are friends while they worked together in a company and they stayed in a same room. The petitioner, one Boobathy and Rajarajan who are the friends of the deceased BharathiKannan also used to visit the defacto complainant's room. While so, in continuation to a dispute, on 22.09.2025 at 03.30 A.M. in the early hours, while the defacto complainant and the deceased were sleeping in their room, the petitioner along with other accused have beaten the deceased with beer bottles on his head. While the defacto complainant tried to rescue him, the accused have also assaulted his face thereby caused injuries to him. Due to the injuries sustained, the Bharathi Kannan died. Thereby the FIR has been registred in Cr. No.430 of 2025 on the file of Maraimalai Nagar Police Station.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioners/accused; the petitioner was arrested and remanded on 24.09.2025 and he is in judicial custody for the past 175 days; the co-accused have been granted bail by this court in Crl.M.P. Nos.84 of 2026 dated 09.01.2026 and 184 of 2026 dated 27.01.2026; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying for enlargement on bail.

6. The learned Special Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, objected to grant bail to the petitioner. He also filed the written objection of the prosecution.

7. Statutory notice has been served to the victim/father of the deceased. He filed his written submissions through the learned Special Public Prosecutor and also objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. It is seen from the perusal records and both side submissions that after completion of investigation charge sheet has been filed and case has been taken on file as S.C. No.25 of 2026. The petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 175 days. It is further seen that the co-accused were granted bail by this court. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations made against the petitioner, Charge Sheet has been laid and case was taken on file and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

9. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of this Court, with further condition that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce a copy of any identity proof to ensure their identity.
2. **The petitioner/accused is directed to report before this court every Thursday at 10.30 A.M. for four weeks; thereafter appear before the court on all the hearing dates without fail;**
3. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond during trial;
4. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take

appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in *AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala*.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 17th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To

The Inspector of Police, Maraimalai Nagar Police Station.

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.