

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Monday, the 16th day of March 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.622 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-001420-2026)

Lokeshwaran @ Dori Lokesh, S/o.Sundar,
Aged 23 years

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Town Police Station,
Cr. No.780 of 2025
U/s.331(3), 305(a) of BNS, 2023

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.M.Muneeswaran, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.331(3), 305(a) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and oral reply submitted. Heard both sides. Records are perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner; the petitioner was arrested and remanded on 08.02.2026, and he is under judicial custody for the past 37

days; the property has been recovered; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the petitioner had trespassed into victim's house by broke open the door and stolen 9 sovereigns of gold jewels and a sum of Rs.15,000/- from the bureau. Further the petitioner is involved in 11 previous cases and therefore he prays for the dismissal of the petition. He would further admits the properties have been recovered.

6. It is the case of theft by broke open the door. It is submitted that the properties have been recovered. However, it is the well settled principle of law while granting bail to an accused, his previous antecedents must also be taken into account. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner is involved in 11 other previous cases. It is seen the investigation is not yet completed. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused, the previous antecents of the petitioner/accused, stage of investigation and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 16th day of March 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.