முதன்மை மாவட்ட நீதிமன்றம், செங்கல்பட்டு

வழக்கு எண்	OS	178	of	2012

2026-ம் ஆண்டு ஜூலை திங்கள் 31-ம் நாளான இன்று

வாதி/பிரதிவாதி மனுதாரர்/எதிர்மனுதாரர் தரப்பில் வா.சா.1-வது சாட்சியின் வாக்குமூலமானது 1973 ம் ஆண்டின் சட்டத்தின் விதிமுறைகளுக்கு உட்பட்டு திரு.நாகராஜன், Bench Clerk Grade-I என்பவரால் பிரமாணம் செய்விக்கப்பட்டு உறுதி செய்யப்படுகிறது.

பெயர்	லோகேஷ்	தந்தை பெயர்	
கிராமம்		கணவர் பெயர்	
ஜாதி		தாலுக்கா	
		சமயம்	
தொழில்		வயது	

நாள்-31.07.2026

வா.சா.-1

Cross examination-

I am working as Senior quantity surveyor in defendant company. For the past 20 years I have been working in the defendant company. When the plaintiff company was doing work & also I was working in the defendant company. I know the details about the purchase orders 01.10.2008, 10.10.2008, 02.12.2008. As per the purchase orders we supplied bitumen to the plaintiff company and the bitumen cost will be deducted in the certified bills. The agreed cost of bitumen was Rs.29921 per metric ton . Irrespective of fluctuation in the cost of bitumen, the amount fixed bythe parties was

Rs.29921 per metric tonne. In case of any raise in the cost, it would be borne by the defendant company. I don't know whether the plaintiff company had taken up the similar task with the defendant previously. It is true that, as per the bills dated 18.11.2008, 25.11.2008, 06.12.2008, 15.12.2008, 15.12.2008, the plaintiff company claimed Rs.49,58,341/- . After deducting cost towards supply of bitumen amounting to Rs.19,58,341/-, the plaintiff submitted bills to release the bill amount of Rs.37,00,000/-. In the written statement para 9 it is stated that, the plaintiff company irrationally claimed their overheads and profit margins for a sum of Rs.8,88,936/- on bitumen component supply by the defendant company. In support of the said contention the defendant company will produce the relevant documents and calculations. It is true that the contents of Ex.A4 and A6 and Ex.A8 have not been assailed specifically our written statement stating that any particular head of claim is not proper and untrue. The profit margin of 10 % is calculated from the cost of bitumen only. It is true that bitumen cost is Rs.12,58,341/-. Hence 10% margin comes to Rs.1,25,834/- only. The witness deposes voluntarily by stating that as per our records the total amount towards supply of bitumen is Rs.73.48 Lakhs. The overhead and margin comes to Rs.7,23,491/-. The calculation now I am telling is with regard to the overall supply of bitumen by defendant company. Right now I cannot give account for purchase order Ex.A3, Ex.A5 and Ex.A7 inrespect of supply of quantum of bitumen and I need time for production of the relevant documents before the court.

(For production of relevant documents further cross examination defered)

T CHANDRASEKARAN

Digitally signed by T CHANDRASEKARAN
Location: Principal District Judge, Chengalpattu