

முதன்மை மாவட்ட நீதிமன்றம், செங்கல்பட்டு

வழக்கு எண்	OS	55	of	2014
------------	----	----	----	------

2025-ம் ஆண்டு அக்டோபர் திங்கள் 07-ம் நாளான இன்று

வாதி/பிரதிவாதி தரப்பில் வா.சா.1வது சாட்சியின் வாக்குமூலமானது 1973 ம்
மனுதாரர்/எதிர்மனுதாரர் ஆண்டின் சட்டத்தின் விதிமுறைகளுக்கு உட்பட்டு
திரு.நாகராஜன், Bench Clerk Grade-I என்பவரால் பிரமாணம்
செய்விக்கப்பட்டு உறுதி செய்யப்படுகிறது.

பெயர்	Ambika Rajendra prasad	தந்தை பெயர்	
கிராமம்		கணவர் பெயர்	
ஜாதி		தாலுக்கா	
		சமயம்	
தொழில்		வயது	

நாள்-07.10.2025

PW-1

Cross continuation by D1.

In respect of Item No.1 of suit schedule property I have not given the descriptions of new survey no, patta no, boundaries and liner measurements . These particulars are in the sale deed and it was in possession of 2nd plaintiff only. I have marked the certified copy of sale deed as Exh.A1. It is true that pending suit I executed sale deed infavour of 2nd plaintiff. Pending suit I obtained patta for the property. Right now 1st defendant is residing in the entire extent of suit schedule property. Eventhough

I stated in the sale deed that possession in respect of B schedule property was handed over to the purchaser, till date the entire property is in physical possession of my sister 1st defendant and it is for the purchaser/2nd plaintiff to get possession from 1st defendant. The document now showed to me is the certified copy of the sale deed executed by me in favour of 2nd plaintiff. It is Exhibit B1. I am not conducting the present case on behalf of 2nd plaintiff also. He will come and depose before the court. Till the demise of my mother she only was keeping the original sale deed with her. After her demise the original documents came to my possession with the consent of 1st defendant and at the time of selling out B schedule property I handed over the original documents to the Purchaser/2nd Plaintiff. It is true that, this has not been disclosed in the plaint filed by me. I deny the suggestion that the 2nd plaintiff is not a bonafide purchaser. However the 2nd plaintiff after knowing the litigation only purchase B schedule property for a much lesser price only. I do not know whether the OS.No.55/2014 is mentioned in Exh.B1. It is true that my mother was murdered by somebody on 11.05.2013. To my knowledge the reason for committing murder was to take away the jewels of my mother by the accused person. At the time of murder D1 was not in station. I only lodged complaint with police. Based on my complaint FIR was registered by police. In the plaint schedule I have not given the specific

details of movable properties like valuables. I had regular contact with my mother during her life time. I have not filed any documents in respect of ownership to movable properties. It is true that I filed necessary petition before the magistrate court claiming the seized properties and I got it from the magistrate court for interim custody. The copy of petition with order shown is Exh.B2. (for want of time cross continuation deferred)