

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF , TITTAGUDI

PRESENT: SELVI. N.SHALINI, B.Com.LL.B.(Hons.)

ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF, TITTAGUDI

Monday, the 08th day of June 2026

Original Suit No.24/2017

(C.N.R. No.TNCD240002822022)

1. Karuppaiya (Died), Rep.by legal heirs

2. Selvam,

3. Selvakumar,

4. Ramesh.

... Plaintiffs

/Vs/

1. Ramadhas,

2. Dhanaraj.

... Defendants

This suit is coming on 08.06.2026 before me in the presence of Mr.Kan.Radhakrishnan, Counsel for Plaintiffs; Mr.Muruganantham, Counsel for Defendants. Upon hearing both sides and upon perusing the materials on record, this court doth hereby delivers the following;

ORDER

1. Heard both sides. The Plaintiffs had initially instituted the suit for the relief of Declaration, Permanent Injunction, in the alternative for recovery of possession and mesne profit and valued the suit under Section 25(a) of Tamil Nadu Court Fees and Suits Valuation Act, 1955 at Rs.5000/- and paid Rs.376/- towards court fee. However, as per the order in I.A.No.432 of 2025 dated 06.03.2026, the Plaintiff has amended the plaint and valued the suit properties for Rs.3,20,000/- and had paid Rs.9,600/- towards court fees under Section 25(a) of Tamil Nadu Court Fees and Suits Valuation Act, 1955.

2. Upon careful examination and scrutiny of the pleadings and accompanying documents filed on record, this Court finds that the valuation of the subject matter of the suit, as determined subsequent to the amendments made to the original plaint, has exceeded the pecuniary limits of this Court. Consequently, this Court lacks the requisite pecuniary jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the present matter, as the claimed relief and the valuation thereof falls beyond the statutory threshold established for suits cognizable by this Court. In view of the aforesaid jurisdictional impediment, this Court suo-moto invokes its power under Order VII Rule 10 CPC to return the plaint to the Plaintiffs for presentation before the competent Court of appropriate jurisdiction. The Plaintiffs are hereby directed to present the plaint before the Court having proper pecuniary jurisdiction to entertain and adjudicate the suit within the limitation period as prescribed under the Limitation Act, 1963, with due allowance for the period during which the plaint remained pending before this Court. It is clarified that this return of plaint is solely on the ground of lack of pecuniary jurisdiction and does not constitute any adjudication on the merits of the case.

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 08th day of June 2026.

Additional District Munsif,
Tittagudi.

Fair Order
O.S.No.24/2017
Date: 08.06.2026