

APAN030006422022



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE (JUNIOR DIVISION) ::
ANANTAPURAMU::**

PRESENT :- Sri K. Bala Koteswara Rao,
Principal Civil Judge (Junior Division), Anantapuramu.

Monday, the 9th day of March, 2026

ORIGINAL SUIT No.351/2022

Between:-

K. Adinarayana Reddy, S/o. Late K. Chenna Reddy, aged 55 years, Business,
D.No.408/A, Rajahamsa Paradise, Ananthapuramu Town & District.

...Plaintiff

And

V. Mohan, S/o. V. Adinarayana, aged 50 years, D.No.28/3/43, Navodaya
Colony, Ananthapuramu District.

...Defendant

This suit has come before me for final hearing on 17.02.2026 in the presence of **Sri V.V.S. Murali Krishna, Sri Bandaru Veeranna and Sri B. Thippeswamy**, Advocates for the Plaintiff and the defendant is set ex-parte. Upon perusing the material on record and on hearing the arguments and having considered the matter, till this day, this court delivers the following:

:: J U D G M E N T ::

1. This is a suit, filed for recovery of a sum of Rs.7,66,733/-, from the defendant on the foot of two promissory notes that the defendant borrowed an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- and Rs.2,50,000/-from the plaintiff on 26.12.2018 and 09.01.2019, respectively and executed two promissory notes on the even dates in favour of the plaintiff agreeing to repay the same together with interest @ 24% p.a.,. In as much as, the defendant has failed to discharge the debt due to plaintiff, despite repeated demands made by him, hence, he has constrained to file the present suit.

2. The defendant has remained exparte.
3. Substantiating the suit claim, the plaintiff himself has been examined as PW.1 and exhibited Exs.A.1 and A.2, on his behalf.
4. Heard the counsel for plaintiff.
5. The point for consideration is:
“Whether plaintiff is entitled to get a decree, as prayed for?”
6. In order to substantiate his suit claim, plaintiff himself has been examined as PW.1 and exhibited documents Ex.A.1 and A.2.
7. Reiterating the averments made in the plaint, PW.1 has submitted evidence affidavit in lieu of examination-in-chief.
8. A perusal of unchallenged testimony of PW.1, it discloses that on 26.12.2018 and 09.01.2019, respectively, the defendant borrowed an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- and Rs.2,50,000/-from the plaintiff and executed promissory notes under Ex.A.1 and A2, in favour of the plaintiff, agreeing to repay the same with yearly interest @ 24% p.a. Thereafter, the defendant has failed to pay the balance amount covered under Ex.A.1 and A2.
9. It is thus, by examining himself as PW.1 and placing documentary evidence Ex.A.1 and A2, the plaintiff could prove and establish the suit claim, prima facie. Therefore, the court is of the considered view that there are no legal impediments to pass a decree in favour of plaintiff and against defendant. Accordingly, point is answered.
10. For the foregoing reasons, the suit is deserved to be decreed with costs.

11. In the result, the suit is decreed with costs for a sum of Rs.7,66,733/- (Rupees seven lakh sixty six thousand seven hundred and thirty three only) with subsequent interest thereon the principal amount of Rs.4,50,000/- (Rupees four lakhs and fifty thousand only) at 6% p.a., from the date of filing of the suit i.e., 13.12.2021, till the date of realization.

Typed to my dictation by the Stenographer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 9th day of March, 2026.

Sd/- K. Bala Koteswara Rao,
**PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE (JUNIOR DIVISION),
ANANTHAPURAMU.**

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE
WITNESSES EXAMINED

For Plaintiff:

PW.1/17.02.2026 : K. Adinarayana Reddy

For Defendant:

None

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For Plaintiff:

Ex.A.1: 'A' marked demand promissory note for Rs.2,00,000/- executed by defendant in favour of plaintiff, dated 26.12.2018

Ex.A.2: 'B' marked demand promissory note for Rs.2,50,000/- executed by defendant in favour of plaintiff, dated 09.01.2019

For Defendant:

-Nil-

Sd/- K. Bala Koteswara Rao,
PCJ(JD), ATP.