

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF, CHIDAMBARAM

Present: Tmt. E. Swetha, B.B.A., L.L.B (Hons)
Additional District Munsif
Chidambaram

Monday, the 27th day of April 2026

IA.245/2025 in IA.48/2025 in OS.38/2025

Saravanan

..... Petitioner/Plaintiff

/Vs/

A/M. Guru Namasivayam Madam @ Thiruparkadal
Madam Chidambaram through its representative
officer

....Respondent/Defendant

This petition is coming on 16.4.2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. R. Kalidoss, Advocate for petitioner and Thiru.K.S. Elangovan, Advocate for Respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides and on perusal of case records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivers the following:

ORDER

1. Petition filed under Order 7 Rule 14 of CPC to condone the delay in filing the documents and receive the documents.

2. Heard both sides on record. Material records perused. This is the suit filed for the relief of permanent injunction. This is the Petition filed under Order 7 Rule 14 to condone the delay in producing the petition mentioned documents in original suit. The respondent has filed counter and contended that there is no nexus between the petition mentioned documents and the suit. The sale deed dated 25.5.1906 vide document no. 1194/1906 filed along with peti-

tion is not legible and there is no specification of issuing authority. The petition has been filed with sole intention to procrastinate the proceedings since the petitioner lacks merits in his case. The respondent further contended that the petition property has already been decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP(C) Nos24285/2022 and 410/2023 and pursuant to the same, further actions including removal of encroachment by the petitioner were taken as per law. In this circumstance, this petition is not maintainable and deserves to be dismissed.

3. Therefore, considering the entire facts and circumstances of this case, this court is of the view that the petitioner must be given the sufficient opportunities to put forth his defence into so as to render substantial justice and for the complete adjudication of the issues in the suit. Hence, this court is inclined to allow this petition subject to admissibility, proof and relevancy of the documents produced. The respondent as a matter of right is entitled to make his objection for the documents at the relevant time of proceedings.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No costs.

Dictated to the steno-typist, transcribed by her and typed in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this the 27th day of April 2026.

(Sd/- E.Swetha)
ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF,
CHIDAMBARAM.