

FIR No. : 74 dated 13.03.2026
U/s : 190, 191 (2), 326 (g), 324 (4), 351 (3), 61 (2), 111 (3)
BNS
PS : Civil Lines, Sonapat

Present: Sh. Rohtash Dhaka, Advocate for applicant/accused namely
Vikram Singh.
Sh. Parag, Ld. APP for the State.

Order:-

This order of mine shall dispose of an application for grant of bail to applicant/accused namely Vikram Singh.

2. The brief facts of the case as per the prosecution story are that on 12.03.2026 at about 5:41 PM, the complainant was present at his shop and was doing his work when a person namely Vikas came to his shop, abused him, and extended threats to kill him. Thereafter, the complainant made a call at 112, upon which his complaint was recorded. It was further alleged that thereafter the said persons again exerted pressure upon the complainant, due to which he was compelled to withdraw his complaint. However, later on, they again came to the spot at about 10:36 PM during the night, committed vandalism at the shop, and set fire to the premises. The complainant further stated that the entire incident had been captured in the CCTV footage. He named the assailants as Vikas, Deepak, and two other unidentified boys. The complainant also stated that he apprehended danger to his life from the said persons and requested the police to take strict legal action against them.

3. The learned counsel for the accused has argued that the accused has been falsely implicated in this case. He has further argued that the trial of the case will take a long time and bail is the rule and jail is an exception.

4. On the said application, a reply from the State was sought. In the same, the learned APP for the State has argued that the accused has committed grave offences under Sections 190, 191 (2), 326 (g), 324 (4), 351 (3), 61 (2), 111 (3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter BNS). The same are heinous offences and are against the societal interest. He has further argued that in the interest of justice, the bail application in hand should be dismissed.

5. Arguments heard. Record perused.

6. It is pertinent to mention here that while deciding a bail matter, the

(Jasmine Preet Kaur)
JMJC, Sonapat
UID No. HR0648
03.07.2026

court has to **firstly** see the gravity of the offence, **secondly** the impact that such an offence will have on the society and **thirdly** the antecedents of the accused. Furthermore, it is the settled principle of the criminal jurisprudence that while deciding a bail application, the court has to create a balance between the societal interest of a peaceful society and the individual interest of the accused and their personal liberty. In the present case in hand, perusal of the case file shows that the accused formed an unlawful assembly and thereafter committed the offence. As per the reply filed by the State, the vehicle bearing registration No. HR-10AL-5584, along with the weapons used in the commission of the offence, has been recovered from the accused. It has further been stated that several criminal cases are pending against the accused and that the co-accused in the present case are yet to be apprehended.

7. Furthermore, while deciding a bail application the court has to maintain a balance between the societal interest of a peaceful society and the individual interest of the accused. While doing the same, the court has to also keep in mind the interest and the rights of the victim. The present case on the face of it is a matter punishable under Section 326 (g) of BNS, which is a grave and serious offence having a great impact upon the society. **The said offence is exclusively triable by the learned Court of Sessions.** Hence, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case but without commenting on the merits, **the bail application of accused Vikram Singh is hereby dismissed.** Papers be tagged with the main file.

(Jasmine Preet Kaur)
JMIC, Sonipat
UID No. HR0648

Date of Order: 03.07.2026
Mamta Verma, Stenographer G III

(Jasmine Preet Kaur)
JMIC, Sonipat
UID No. HR0648
03.07.2026