

IN THE COURT OF SUBORDINATE JUDGE, PANRUTI

Present: Mrs. Anitha Anand, M.B.A., L.L.M.

Subordinate Judge, Panruti

Thursday, the 11th day of June - 2026

I.A. No. 1/2024 (Old I.A. No. 518/2024)

in

O.S. No.145/2017

Vijaya

... Petitioner/Plaintiff

Vs.

1. Sureshkumar and 8 others

... Respondents/Defendants

This petition finally heard today upon perusal of records of the case and hearing submissions, this court passes the following order:

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.R.Vaitheeshwaran

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. A.Anbazzhagan

O R D E R

Petition filed under order 8 Rule 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 by the plaintiff with subsequent pleadings in the form of reply statement.

Heard both sides. The petitioner is the plaintiff in the suit. She stated that the defendant 4 to 6 gave false averment in the written statement and hence she is bound to submit reply statement for eliciting the facts. The defendants 1 to 3 were set exparte in the suit itself. The defendants – 4 to 6, the contesting respondents of this petition stated that the petition was filed with intention to drag on the proceedings. As the respondents did not raise any

specific objection with regard to the averments in the reply statement, this court to incline to allow the petition to decide the fact allowed the petition.

In fine, the petition is allowed. No costs.

*Dictated by me to the Steno-Typist directly, typed by her in the computer.
Corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, this the 11th day of June, 2026.*

Subordinate Judge
Panruti.

Draft/Fair Order (AFT) I.A.No. 518/2024 in O.S. No.145/2017 Date: 11.06.2026
--