

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE

**Present: Tmt. G.Subathira Devi, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.**

Tuesday, the 9th day of June, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. 1972/2026

Intervener petition in Crl.M.P.No.2027/2026

Crl.M.P.No.1972/2026

1. Kalaiyarasan (A1), age 33, S/o. Anbazhagan
2. Anandhakumar (A3), age 40, S/o. Sundaramoorthy
3. Anbazhagan (A4), age 60, S/o. Rathinam Petitioners/Accused

.Vs.

S.H.O. Neyveli Township P.S.,
Cr.No. 149/2026 Respondent/Complainant

Intervener petition in Crl.M.P.No. 2027/2026

Ranjitha, age 27, W/o. Prasanth Petitioner/Intervener

.Vs.

Neyveli Township P.S.,
Cr.No. 149/2026 Respondent/Complainant

.Vs.

1. Kalaiyarasan (A1), age 33, S/o. Anbazhagan
2. Anandhakumar (A3), age 40, S/o. Sundaramoorthy
3. Anbazhagan (A4), age 60, S/o. Rathinam Petitioners/Accused

These petitions are coming for hearing before me on this day in the presence of
Thiru.P.Elanchezhian, Advocate for the Petitioners in Crl.M.P.No.1972/2026 and of
Thiru.A.Gnanapandithan, Advocate for the Intervener in Crl.M.P.No.2027/2026 and of
Public Prosecutor for the Respondent and this Court made the following

ORDER

This Petition is filed U/s.482 of BNSS, for seeking Anticipatory Bail.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused contended that the Petitioners/Accused have been charged U/Ss.329(3), 324(4), 296(b), 303(2), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 133 and 351(3) of BNS r/w sec.4 of TNPWH Act by the Respondent/Police for the alleged occurrence took place on 24.05.2026. FIR was registered on 25.05.2026.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused has contended that the Petitioners/Accused are an innocent and no way connected with the offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further stated that, there is civil dispute between the parties and also O.S. is pending. There is dispute between them with regard to cashew nut. The injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, prays to allow the petition.

The Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that as per FIR, there is specific overtact against them. There is civil dispute between them and also O.S. is pending and the investigation has not yet completed and hence, he has serious objection to release the Petitioner/Accused on anticipatory bail. However, admitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital.

The defacto complainant filed an intervener petition in **Crl.M.P.No.2027/2026** and permitted.

The intervener/Defacto Complainant reproduce the entire averments in the complaint made by him in his affidavit and apprehends that if the petitioners are released on Anticipatory bail it is danger to him and seeking dismissal of the Anticipatory bail application. Hence the learned Counsel for the Intervener strongly opposed to grant Bail to the Petitioners/Accused.

Rival submissions of both sides considered. Upon considering the strong objections, gravity of offences, facts and circumstances of the case and other aspects and the investigation has not yet been completed, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the Petitioners/Accused at this stage, hence the petition is dismissed.

Hence, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 9th day of June 2026

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.**

To	: The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Neyveli	} Through E-Mail only
Copy to	: S.H.O. Neyveli Township PS.	