

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE

Present: Tmt. G.Subathira Devi, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.

Tuesday, the 16th day of June 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. 1980/2026

1. Priya (A1), age 43, W/o. Saravanakumar
2. Giridharan (A2), age 18, S/o. Saravanakumar
3. Mathavan (A3), age 25, S/o. Durairaj
4. Silambarasan (A4), age 26, S/o. S.Mohanasundaram

... Petitioners/Accused

/Vs./

S.H.O. Ramanatham P.S.,
Cr.No.126/2026

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming for hearing before me on this day in the presence of Thiru.K.Arulraj, Advocate for the Petitioners/Accused and of Public Prosecutor for the Respondent and this Court made the following,

ORDER

This Petition is filed U/s.482 of BNSS, for seeking Anticipatory Bail.

Prosecution case is that the FIR was registered as against the Petitioners/Accused for the alleged offences punishable U/s 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) and 303(2) of BNS for the alleged occurrence on 14.05.2026. FIR was registered on 16.05.2026.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused contended that the Respondent Police have registered an FIR as against the Petitioners/Accused and they are falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged in FIR. He further stated that they are innocent and no way connected with the offence and the Petitioners/Accused are authorized persons to seize the vehicle from the possession of the defacto complainant, where they are working under MRK Associate and the copy of order and the copies of ID cards of Petitioners/Accused are submitted. A false case has been foisted against them. No injured in this case. Hence, prays to allow the petition.

The Learned Public Prosecutor contended that as per the FIR, there is specific overtact against the Petitioners/Accused and the Petitioners/ Accused are not authorized persons to take the vehicle from the possession of the defacto complainant. The investigation has not yet been completed. Hence, he has serious objection to release the Petitioners/Accused on Anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides.

Considering the rival submissions of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant Anticipatory bail to the Petitioners/Accused with the following conditions:-

The Petitioners/Accused are ordered to be enlarged on bail in the event of arrest or on their surrender on or before **30.06.2026** before the **Learned Judicial Magistrate, Tittakudi** on their executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Court and further **the Petitioners/Accused shall sign before the SHO, Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 AM for 15 days and thereafter as and when required.** Further conditions that

(i) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety affidavit and concerned Court may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

(ii) The Respondent Police is directed to secure the copy of Ration card, Aadhar Card and Phone number of the accused and his house mates to secure the presence of the accused.

(iii) The Petitioners/Accused shall not abscond and tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) The Petitioners/Accused should co-operate with the investigation and trial proceedings without gaining any time, otherwise the bail bond would stand automatically canceled.

(v) Petitioners/Accused shall provide all mobile numbers to the IO concerned which shall be kept in working condition at all times and shall not switch off or change the mobile number without prior intimation to the Investigation Officer concerned. The Mobile location be kept on at all times.

(vi) the Petitioners/Accused shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(vii) On breach of any conditions, this court is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court of India in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala, AIR 2005 SCR 5560. If the petitioner absconds a fresh FIR would be registered U/s.269 of BNS.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 16th day of June 2026

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.**

To : The Judicial Magistrate, Tittagudi
Copy to : S.H.O. Ramanatham PS.

Through
E-Mail only