

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE

Present: Tmt. G.Subathira Devi, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.

Monday, the 1st day of June, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. 1929/2026

Anandharaj, age 21, S/o.Kathirvel	...	Petitioner/Accused
.Vs.		
S.H.O. Neyveli Thermal P.S., Cr.No.65/2026	...	Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming for hearing before me on this day in the presence of Thiru.K.Parthiban, Advocate for the Petitioner/Accused and of Public Prosecutor for the Respondent and this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS for seeking bail.

Prosecution case is that the FIR was registered as against the Petitioner/Accused for the alleged offences punishable U/Ss.324(4) of BNS, R/w.3 of TNPPDL Act for the alleged occurrence on 19.04.2026 and the Petitioner/Accused was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.04.2026.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused has contended that the Respondent Police have registered an FIR as against the Petitioner/Accused and he is falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged in FIR. He further stated that as per FIR, the cost of damage is mentioned as Rs.11,000/-. It is a sudden provocation. Hence, prays to allow the petition.

The Petitioner/Accused is in judicial custody for the past 35 days.

Earlier petition was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.1822/2026 on 21.05.2026.

The Learned Public Prosecutor contended that the Petitioner/Accused is in judicial custody for the past 35 days only. As per FIR, there is specific overtact against him and also the cost of damage is mentioned as Rs.11,000/-. 10 previous cases are pending against him and also he is a HS holder. He is drunken mood to damage the front glass of car. Hence, he has serious objection to release the Petitioner/Accused on bail.

Heard both sides.

Considering the representations made by both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the cost of damage of the car, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner/Accused with the following conditions:

The Petitioner/Accused is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Neyveli** and the **Petitioner/Accused shall also deposit cash of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand only) before the Learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Neyveli and the above said deposit amount has to be kept in Crl.C.D. account in the said crime number and the same has to be decided in Concerned Court at the time of trial** on further conditions that the Petitioner/Accused shall appear before the **SHO, Respondent PS at daily at 10.30 A.M. until further orders.** Further, conditions that

(i) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety affidavit and concerned Court may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

(ii) The Respondent Police is directed to secure the copy of Ration card, Aadhar Card and Phone number of the accused and his house mates to secure the presence of the accused.

(iii) The Petitioner/Accused shall not abscond and tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) The Petitioner/Accused should co-operate with the investigation and trial proceedings without gaining any time, otherwise the bail bond would stand automatically canceled.

(v) Petitioner/Accused shall provide all mobile numbers to the IO concerned which shall be kept in working condition at all times and shall not switch off or change the mobile number without prior intimation to the Investigation Officer concerned. The Mobile location be kept on at all times.

(vi) the Petitioner/Accused shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(vii) On breach of any conditions, this court is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court of India in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala, AIR 2005 SCR 5560. If the petitioner absconds a fresh FIR would be registered U/s.269 of BNS.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 1st day of June 2026

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.**

To	: The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Neyveli.	Through E-Mail only
Copy to	: S.H.O. Neyveli Thermal PS.	