

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE

Present: **Tmt. G.SARASWATHI, M.L.,**

I Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.

(Exercising Power as Sessions Judge, U/s.8(8) of B.N.S.S.

Thursday, the 26th day of March 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. 1156/2026

1. Kalaiselvan (A1), age 29, S/o. Kumar
2. Rajalakshmi (A2), age 29, W/o. Kalaiselvan
3. Sasikala (A3), age 29, W/o. Prasanth ... Petitioners/Accused

.Vs.

S.H.O. Chidambaram Taluk P.S.,
Cr.No. 50/2026 ... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming for hearing before me on this day in the presence of Thiru.P.Kathavarayan, Advocate for the Petitioners/Accused and of Public Prosecutor for the Respondent and this Court made the following

ORDER

This Petition is filed U/s.482 of BNSS, for seeking Anticipatory Bail.

Prosecution case is that the FIR was registered as against the Petitioners/Accused for the alleged offences punishable U/Ss.296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS and 4 of TNPWH Act for the alleged occurrence on 15.03.2026. FIR was registered pm 15.03.2026.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused contended that the Respondent Police have registered an FIR as against the Petitioners/Accused and they are falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged in FIR. He further stated that they are innocent and no way connected with the offence. He further stated that there is case is counter and all

of them are relatives. One of the accused is Transgender. The injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, prays to allow the petition. Hence, prays to allow the petition.

The Learned Public Prosecutor contended that as per FIR, there is case in counter. The basic problem is illegal contact with one lady so there is problem between the patties. The weapon used is knife. One of the accused is Transgender. The offence is grave in nature and the investigation has not yet been completed. Hence, he has serious objection to release the Petitioners/Accused on Anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides.

Considering the rival submissions of both sides and considering the strong objection of the learned Public Prosecutor and facts and circumstances of the case and considering that the offence is grave in nature and the investigation has not yet been completed, this Court is not inclined to grant Anticipatory bail to the Petitioners/Accused.

Hence, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 26th day of March 2026

I Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Cuddalore.

To : The Judicial Magistrate No. II, Chidambaram
Copy to : S.H.O. Chidambaram Taluk P.S.

} Through
E-Mail only
.