

Bail Matters 1570/2025
State of Delhi Vs. Vikram Soni
FIR No. 230/2025
PS Rajinder Nagar

29.08.2025

Present: Sh. K.P. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Dr. Pradeep Sharma, Sh. Naresh Kumar, Ms. Kiran Sharma and Ms. Tisha, Ld. counsels for the complainant.
Sh. G.L Soni, Sh. A.R. Regmi and Sh. Shubham Birla, Ld. counsels for the applicant/accused.
IO Inspector Sunny Kumar in person.

Reply to bail application has already been filed. Copy already supplied.

Arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the applicant/accused is member of *Muscle Junkie Gym* for about last ten years and his wife is also a member of the same gym from about last 4-5 years. They used to take an annual subscription of the said gym and used to pay the annual fee in the month of August every year. He further submits that the gym fee used to be due in August every year. The applicant/accused received a message to pay the fee on 02.08.2025. The receptionist of the gym had asked the applicant/accused to pay his as well as his wife's fee, to which he responded that he would deposit the same within 2-3 days.

He further submits that on 05.08.2025, the complainant had asked the applicant/accused and his wife to pay the fee. At that time, he informed that some of the gym machines were not functioning properly. At that time, a quarrel had taken place which was captured in the CCTV footage. According to him, in that quarrel, the complainant along with his associates had beaten the applicant/accused as well as his

wife. However, on the said date, the matter was amicably settled. He has played a video in the Court but as per the video, the wife of the applicant/accused is not beaten by anyone. Ld. counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the incident of beating her was not captured by the camera of which the video is played.

He further submits that on 11.08.2025, the applicant/accused was called by the owner of the said gym who asked him as to why he had left the gym. On the said date, the applicant/accused and his wife were not involved in any incident in any manner and he was not having any weapon as alleged by the complainant.

He further submits that wife of the applicant/accused was molested by the complainant and his associates and she went to the police station but her FIR was not registered. Thereafter, she went to Office of the Commissioner and the DCP. After coming to know about her visit, the complainant made improvements in his earlier complaint and only thereafter, the present false FIR was registered.

He further submits that the applicant/accused is ready to join the investigation as and when called by the IO.

Per contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the State, Ld. counsel for the complainant and IO have vehemently opposed the present bail application stating that it was the applicant/accused and his wife with whom the quarrel started and they only called the co-accused persons after which the complainant was beaten.

They further submit that co-accused persons who were called by the applicant/accused are history-sheeters and there are several murder and extortion cases registered against them. According to them, the applicant/accused is very much involved in the present case and is

also captured in the CCTV footage. They further submit that his custodial interrogation is required.

As per reply dated 22.08.2025 filed by IO, on 11.08.2025 a PCR call regarding the quarrel was received. He reached at the spot and the complainant/ injured Mukul Kapoor was found to be taken to RML Hospital. On that day, since the complainant was under treatment, therefore, he did not give his statement. As per his MLC, he has received lacerated wound of size 05x0.5x0.5 cm on left forehead, abrasion on lower lip and swelling in left occipital area. On 13.08.2025, the complainant gave his complaint and reported that on 05.08.2025 a couple namely Vikram Soni i.e. the applicant/accused & Prinyanka Soni alongwith their friend Vikas Solanki had arguments with the reception staff with regard to the renewal of their gym membership. He tried to pacify the matter but they abused him and scuffle took place in the parking area. Vikas Solanki had called Sanjay Choudhary and their associates and on that day the matter was settled. He further replied that on 11.08.2025, the accused Rahul Choudhary alongwith his brother Sanjay Choudhary and mother Saroj Choudhary and associates Vikram Soni i.e. applicant/accused, Vikas Solanki, Ekansh Choudhary and two unknow persons came to Muscle Junkie Gym and thereafter Rahul Choudhary and Vikas Solanki had physically assaulted the complainant in the parking area. The accused Rahul Choudhary was having the arms and they took out the DVR of the Muscle Junkie Gym. It is further alleged that the accused persons also snatched his gold chain, bracelet and cash from him, however, later on his gold chain was found in the parking area.

It is further replied that during investigation the CCTV cameras of the place of incident were analysed and it was found that on 11.08.2025 at about 11.30 pm, the accused Rahul Choudhary and Sanjay Choudhary and associates namely Vikram Soni i.e. applicant/accused, Vikas Solanki, Ekansh Choudhary and two unknown person came at the spot. Accused Rahul Choudhary and Vikas Solanki physically assaulted the complainant with fist blows and legs. Accused Ekansh Choudhary was seen taking DVR and accused Rahul Choudhary was seen having the possession of arms and giving to his associate.

He has further replied that all accused persons are evading from arrest and absconding.

Submissions considered. Record perused.

As per the facts of the case, it is the applicant/accused and his wife from where the incident started. The FIR has been registered by name. The applicant/accused is seen in the CCTV footage along with other accused persons inside the premises where the incident had taken place.

Further, as per the reply filed by the IO on 11.08.2025, the applicant/accused along with accused Rahul Chaudhary, Sanjay Chaudhary and his associates namely Vikram Soni i.e. the applicant/accused, Vikas Solanki, Ekansh Chaudhary and two unknown persons went to the spot. Initially, the applicant/accused entered the gym just to confirm the presence of the complainant inside the gym and came back.

Thereafter, accused Rahul Chaudhary, Vikas Solanki and Ekansh Chaudhary went inside the gym and other accused persons including the applicant/accused went in the parking area. Soon thereafter, Rahul Chaudhary and his other associates, complainant and

other staff of the gym came down in the parking area and there they assaulted the complainant with fists, blows and legs.

The accused Ekansh Chaudhary is seen taking the DVR and accused Rahul Chaudhary is seen having possession of arms and giving it to his associate. As per the reply filed by the IO, all accused persons are evading their arrest and are absconding. The custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is required to unearth the facts and entire chain of the events. There are chances of intimidating the witnesses and the investigation is at the initial stage.

Therefore, considering the overall facts and circumstances of the present case and since the custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is required for sustainable investigation and investigation is at initial stage, I do not find merits in the present application. Accordingly, the same is **dismissed**.

The observations made on the merits of the case are purely for the purposes of deciding the present bail application and shall not be construed as expression upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti to the IO as well as to the Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused and be communicated to the applicant/ accused through Jail Superintendent for information.

(Virender Singh)
Special Judge, NDPS-02,
Central,THC, Delhi/29.08.2025