

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF, SULUR

Present : Tmt.M.Santhosham., B.Sc., B.L.,

District Munsif, Sulur

Thiruvalluvar year 2056 Visvavasu year, 25th day of Panguni

Wednesday the 8th day of April 2026

I.A.No.8/2026

in

O.S.No.55/2023

1. Bathma
2. Bavunraj
3. Subramanian

... Petitioners/Plaintiffs

/VS/

1. Lakshmi
 2. Karthikeyan
 3. Anandhakumar
 4. The Tasildhar
- Sulur Taluk.

... Respondents /Defendants

This petition is coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Mr.M.Zackaria, Advocate for the Petitioners and Mr.K.Velmurugan, Advocate for the 1st to 3rd Respondents and the 4th Respondent exparte in main suit and upon perusing the records, and this court made the following:

ORDER

This petition filed by the petitioners under order 7 Rule 14 of CPC to receive the additional documents mentioned in the petition.

1. The brief averments of the affidavit filed by the petitioner as follows:

1.1. The above matter is posted for today for plaintiff side evidence. The petitioners gathered some documents in support of their case after filing of the suit. Those documents are vital in support of petitioners/plaintiffs case. At the time

of filing plaint petitioners/plaintiffs could not produce. Now petitioners/plaintiffs herewith producing the said documents. Therefore filing this petition seeking the leave of the court in the production of the documents mentioned in the petition. Unless the leave is granted, petitioners/plaintiffs will be put to great and irreparable loss. Hence, the petition is to be allowed.

2. Counter statement filed by the 1st to 3rd Respondents as follows:

2.1. The petition is false, frivolous, vexatious and unsustainable both in law and on facts. The respondents deny all the averments made in the petition except those that are specifically admitted by the respondents. The documents 1 and 2 are created after the filing of this suit and the petitioners/plaintiffs have no title or interest in the property. The petitioners/plaintiffs have created the documents to simply harass the respondents. The documents are not genuine and are totally incorrect. No facts establish the rights of the petitioners/plaintiffs. The petitioners/plaintiffs has to strictly prove the case. Hence, the petition is to be dismissed.

3. No Witnesses were examined on either side, and no documents were marked as exhibits.

4. Points for Consideration:-

Whether the petition under order 7 Rule 14 of CPC to receive the additional documents mentioned in the petition is to be allowed?

5. Points:-

5.1. The petition affidavit, counter and the enquiry of both parties were carefully considered. Heard the counsels for both the parties. The suit documents were carefully examined. The main suit is filed for permanent injunction by the Petitioners/Plaintiffs. The original suit is pending for trial.

5.2. The petitioners has stated that, the petitioners gathered some documents in support of their case after filing of the suit. Those documents are vital in support of petitioners/plaintiffs case. At the time of filing plaint petitioners/plaintiffs could not produce. Now petitioners/plaintiffs herewith producing the said documents. The respondents objected that, The documents 1 and 2 are created after the filing of this suit and the petitioners/plaintiffs have no title or interest in the property. The petitioners/plaintiffs have created the documents to simply harass the respondents. The documents are not genuine and are totally incorrect.

5.3. This being the trial court, have to given opportunity to both sides to elucidated both side case before this court. The documents filed along with the petition it can be receive with subject to proof relevancy and admissibility. The validity of the documents will be decided at the time of Judgment. Photos to be filed along with necessary certificate. Hence in the interest of justice this court allowed this application to file only certified copies of the documents.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No cost.

Dictated to the Steno-typist and directly typed by her in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of April 2026.

Sd/M.Santhosham
District Munsif,
Sulur.

List of witnesses and documents on petitioners side:- - Nil

List of witnesses and documents on Respondent side:- - Nil

Sd/M.Santhosham
District Munsif,
Sulur.

Draft/ Fair Order
I.A.No.8/2026 in
O.S.No.55/2023
Dated: 08.04.2026
DMC, Sulur