

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF OF SULUR

PRESENT: Tmt. N. Renuga, B.A.,B.L.,

District Munsif, Sulur.

Thursday the 29<sup>th</sup> day of September 2022

Original Suit No.42 of 2021

(CNR.No.170000462021)

R. Balaji

.. Plaintiff

/vs/

1.A. Kuppathal

2. A. Rangasamy

3. C. Muthusamy

4. R. Yashodamalini

5. V. Thangam

6. S. Lakshmanan

7. K. Devarajan

8. K Aegamoorthi

9. G. Maniyan

10. N.R. Palanisamy

11. M. Kandasamy

12. R. Rajamani

13. V. jeganathan

14. M. R. Ramakrishnan

15. S. Sundarambal

16. C. Nanjappa gounder

17. S. Karthikeyan

18. S. Dhamodharasamy

19. L Shanthamani

20. L. Vidhya

.. Defendants

This suit coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.R. Rameshkumar, advocate for the plaintiff, and of Thiru.S. Mahendran, advocate for the defendants 2,5,17 to 19, and the defendants 1 and 7 are reported died in summon, and no representation for plaintiff, and upon perusing the records, and this court delivered the following:

JUDGMENT

Suit for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the defendants 1 and 2 in favour of defendants no.3 and 4 on 03.02.1998 vide document no.320/1998 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the defendants 1 and 2 in favour of the 5<sup>th</sup> defendant on 03.02.1998 vide document no. 323/1998 as null and

void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 6<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent of the defendants 1 and 2 infavour of 7<sup>th</sup> defendant on 18.10.2000 vide document no.3485/2000 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 6<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent of the defendants no.1 and 2 infavour of the 8<sup>th</sup> defendant on 19.10.2000 vide document no.3486/2000 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 6<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent of the defendants no.1 and 2 on 19.10.2000 vide document No.3487/20000 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 10<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent of the viswanathan in faovur of 11<sup>th</sup> defendant on 19.3.2001 vide document no.851/2001 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale agreement executed between the defendants no.11 and 12 on 22.03.2001 vide document no. 900/2001 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale agreement executed by 10<sup>th</sup> defendant in favour of 13<sup>th</sup> defendant on 5.10.2001 vide document no. 2988/2001 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 10<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent of the viswanathan in faovur of 14<sup>th</sup> defendant on 05.10.2001 vide document no.2989/2001 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 10<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent of the viswanathan in faovur of 15<sup>th</sup> defendant on 22.9.2004 vide document no.4435/2004 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 16<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent no.1 and 2 in faovur of 17<sup>th</sup> defendant on 24.06.2005 vide document no.3367/2005 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 16<sup>th</sup> defendant as the power agent no.1 and 2 in faovur of 18<sup>th</sup> defendant on 24.06.2005 vide document no.3368/2005 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 18<sup>th</sup> defendant in faovur of 19<sup>th</sup> defendant on 12.02.2018 vide document no.1482/2018 as null and void, vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for declaration to declare that the sale deed executed by the 19<sup>th</sup> defendant in faovur of 20<sup>th</sup> defendant on 15.05.2018 vide document no.4610/2018 as null and void,

vitiated by fraud, misrepresentation and deception, collusion and not binding on the plaintiff in any manner whatsoever and for permanent injunction restraining the defendants no.18 to 20 and their family members, men and agents from in any manner interfering with the plaintiff's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the suit property and for the costs of the suit.

On several opportunities the written statement of D2,D5, D17 to D19 not filed. Hence D2, 5, D17 to D19 called absent set exparte. Battya for D3, D4, D8, D12, D14, D6, D9, D10, D11, D15, D16, D20 not paid. No representation for plaintiff, this case is pending in this stage for past one year. No representation made on behalf of the plaintiff, this court passed conditional order, not turned up to file batta. Hence this suit is dismissed for default. No cost.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 29<sup>th</sup> day of September 2022.

District Munsif,  
Sulur.

Draft/Fair Judgment

O.S.No.42/2021

Dt. 29.09.2022

Draft/Fair Judgment

O.S.No.

Dt. .06.2022.

