

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT, VALPARAI.

Present: Tmt. N. Meenatchi, B.A., B.L.,  
District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Valparai  
Dated this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026 on Wednesday  
E-Crl.M.P.No.28/2026  
CNR.No. TNCB0000922026

1) Prathesh (Age 24),  
S/o. Ramachandran,  
2) Subash (Age 20),  
S/o. Sundaraj

... Petitioners/Accused

/Vs/

State of Tamil Nadu,  
Through the Inspector of Police  
Valparai Police Station,  
Cr.No.42/2026,  
U/Sec. 331(4), 305 BNS @  
331(4), 305, 313 BNS Act

... Respondent/ Complainant

On today this petition coming for final hearing before me in the presence of Advocate Thiru. R.R.Perumal, B.A., B.L., for the petitioner and being defended by the Prosecution upon perusing the records and having stood over for consideration, this court delivers the following:-

**ORDER**

Petition filed Under Section 480 BNSS seeking for enlargement of bail.

2. Records perused. Heard both sides. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused argued that the petitioner are arrested and remanded to 1<sup>st</sup> Accused Judicial custody on 08.03.2026 and 2<sup>nd</sup> Accused Judicial custody on 12.03.2026 for the offences U/Sec. 331(4), 305 BNS @ 331(4), 305, 313 BNS Act in Crime No.42/2026 on the file of the respondent police.

2.1 The Learned Counsel for the accused argued that no nexus between the offence and the petitioners/Accused. They are innocent and have not committed any offences as alleged and that the Petitioners/Accused is a law abiding citizen and that they are not

abscond and that they would abide by any condition imposed by this Court and also argued that the Petitioners/Accused ready to furnish substantial sureties for their appearance. Hence, prayed that the accused be released on bail.

3. On the side of Prosecution filed his objection and argued that the Petitioners/Accused has committed the offence U/Sec. 331(4), 305 BNS @ 331(4), 305, 313 BNS Act and that the previous case is pending. If the accused is released on bail, they would abscond and they would tamper the material evidence and there in all possible changes that the would commit the similar offences.

4. The rival submission put forth by either side considered. In this case the accused persons were arrested for the offence U/Sec. 331(4), 305 BNS @ 331(4), 305, 313 BNS Act and they are remanded on 1<sup>st</sup> Accused Judicial custody on 08.03.2026 and 2<sup>nd</sup> Accused Judicial custody on 12.03.2026. Custody period not overed. Considering the facts that the previous case is pending and as stated by the Prosecution side and that if the accused released on bail, he would tamper the material evidence and threat the victim there in all possible changes that he could commit the similar offences. Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of Justice, this court is not inclined to enlarge the Petitioners/Accused on bail.

In the result the bail petition is dismissed.

Order pronounced by me in open court on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

Sd/xxxxxxx

**District Munsif Cum Judicial**

**Magistrate Valparai.**