

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, POLLACHI**  
**PRESENT : Thiru.J.Saravanakumar.B.A.,B.L., (Hons.),**  
**Judicial Magistrate No.I, Pollachi**  
**Tuesday, the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. 195/2026 in Cr.No.61/2026**

Sulaiman (age 25/2026),  
S/o.Ahamed Kabeer,  
242,Periyar Nagar,  
Kinathukadavu,  
Coimbatore District.

... Petitioner/Accused

/ Vs. /

State of Tamilnadu, Represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Kinathukadavu Police Station.  
(Cr. No. 61/2026)  
Offence : u/s.308(2) BNS

... Respondent/Complainant

**Bail Petition filed u/s. 480 BNS Act., filed by the petitioner to grant bail**

This petition filed by Mr.A.Anvar Batcha, the Learned Counsel for the petitioner came up before this court. The respondent police filed objections. Upon perusing the entire records, contentions of both parties and having stood over for consideration till this date, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

**1. THE CASE OF THE PETITIONER AS FOLLOWS:-**

The petitioner had preferred the present petition praying for enlargement of bail he is in judicial custody for the past 6 days in Cr.No.61/2026, pending on the file of respondent police. It is submitted by the petitioner that he is innocent and did not commit any offences as alleged by the police. A false case is foisted against him for statistical purpose. By pointing out a period of 6 days of incarceration in judicial custody, the petitioner had prayed for enlargement of bail.

## **2. THE OBJECTIONS RAISED BY THE POLICE:-**

The respondent police submitted a reply and objected to claim of bail by the petitioner herein. Further, if released, there is chances that the petitioner may indulge in committing similar offences in future and he is habitual offender and he would abscond and tamper the evidence and witnesses and also Crime Nos. 168/2022, 189/2022, 27/2023, 130/2024, 141/2025 has been registered against the accused in Kinathukadavu P.S. Thereby, prayed for the dismissal of the bail petition.

## **3. POINT TO BE DETERMINED:-**

Whether the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail u/s.480 BNSS Act, or not? is the point to be determined.

## **4. DISCUSSION:-**

On perusal of records reveal that the petitioner had involving extortion of Rs.500/- of petitioner and also reveal that the police would have completed substantial portion of the investigation. It was submitted the Learnd Counsel for petitioner that the petitioner have permanent residence of the above mentined address and would appear before this court, as and when directed. Considering the nature of offence, period of incarceration and stage of investigation this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

## **5. RESULT:-**

In the result, this petition is **allowed** and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail with stringent conditions:-

- (i). To execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-, along with two sureties for the like sum each;
- (ii). The petitioner is directed to appear and sign before this Court daily at 05.00 p.m, until further orders;

(iii). The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, as and when required by the respondent police;

(iv). The petitioner is strictly directed not to involve in any of such offences and tampering of witnesses.

If the petitioner fail to adhere the conditions (ii), (iii) & (iv), the IO can approach this Court for necessary orders.

The order was dictated by me typed directly by typist in computer. Verified and pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March 2025.

**Judicial Magistrate No.I,  
Pollachi.**