

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
COIMBATORE.**

**Present: Thiru.A.K.Babulal, B.Com., LL.M.,
I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, COIMBATORE.(i/c)**

Friday, this the 13th day of March, 2026

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.4535/2025

Amutha (Age 44 years),
W/o. Vellingiri.

... Petitioner/Accused.

/ vs /

State, Rep. by
through the Inspector of Police,
C1 Kattoor Police Station,
Crime No. Not Known.

Offences: u/s.318(4) of BNS.

Through the Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
(The Judicial Magistrate Court No.2, Coimbatore.)

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition has been filed through **e-Filing** on behalf of the petitioner to release her on anticipatory bail u/s.482 of BNS.

This petition is coming on this day for final hearing before this Court in the presence of **Tvl. P. Parameshwaran, R. Nithya, P. SelvaKathampari, R. Sarmila** Advocates for the petitioner / Accused and **Thiru. V. Ravichandran, Public Prosecutor**, represented for State, upon perusing the petition submitted and upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounced the following:-

ORDER

Heard both sides.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences u/s.318(4) of BNS.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is having some health issues and he is ready to abide any conditions imposed by this Court and prays for grant of anticipatory bail to him.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that the accused and the defacto complainant are known persons. Believing the words of the accused, the defacto complainant gave a sum of Rs.1.50 lakh to the accused for purchase of 4 sovereigns of gold. While so, the accused gave only 2 sovereigns of gold to the defacto complainant and in order to get the remaining 2 sovereigns, he demanded further 2 sovereigns of gold and Rs.25,000/-. **Except the present case, the petitioner is having 2 previous cases of the similar nature.**

4. Heard. As per the prosecution case, the accused cheated the defacto complainant a sum of Rs.1.50 lakh. The learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner's family and the de facto complainant's family previously enjoyed a cordial relationship involving amicable financial transactions and however, due to a misunderstanding, the de facto complainant has lodged this false complaint against the petitioner and the petitioner is innocent, and therefore prays for the grant of anticipatory bail. The learned Public Prosecutor reported that, in this case, the amount is not yet recovered. From the reply of the prosecution, it is seen that the petitioner is having 2 previous cases of the similar nature. After considering all the above said aspects, the stage of the investigation and considering the bad antecedents of the petitioner, **this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at present.**

Hence this petition is dismissed.

This order is dictated to steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 13th day of March, 2026.

I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, (i/c)
COIMBATORE.

CC to :

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.2, Coimbatore.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
3. The Inspector of Police, C1 Kattoor Police Station,
4. Counsel for the Petitioner / Accused.

