

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
COIMBATORE.**

**Present: Thiru.G.SUNDARARAJAN, B.Sc., B.L.,
I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, COIMBATORE.(FAC)**

Thursday, this the 16th day of July, 2026

**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION Nos.2294/2026, 2314/2026 and
2362/2026**

Faizal, (Age 37 years)
S/o. Babu.

... Petitioner in C.M.P.No.2294/2026 / A-2

Kajamoidheen S, (Age 45 years)
S/o. Sahabudeen.

... Petitioner in C.M.P.No.2314/2026 / A-3

Thoufeek (Age 26 years)
S/o. Mohammed Iqbal.

... Petitioner in C.M.P.No.2362/2026 / A-4
/vs/

State, Rep. by
through the Inspector of Police,
Sundarapuram Police Station,
Crime No.187/2026.

**Offences: u/s.178, 179, 180, 181, 182 of BNS and Sec. 8(c), 22(a), 27(b) of
NDPS Act.**

Through the Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore. ... Respondent
(The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Madukkarai)

These three petitions filed on behalf of the petitioner in the respective petitions to release them on bail u/s.483 of BNSS.

These three petitions are coming on this day for final hearing before this Court in the presence of **Tvl. S. Sampath, A. Ramla Banu, M.Tamilarasan, S.Gokul, V.Deiva Sikaamani, S.Ahilan, A.Gowthaman, M. Pavithara and Aishvarya**, Advocates for the Petitioner in C.M.P.No.2294/2026 and 2314/2026 / A-2 and A-3 and **Tvl. S. Rajendran, S.Sivaselvi, D. Karthiga, R. Prathiksha, J. Gokila**, Advocates for the petitioner in C.M.P.No.2362/2026 / A-4 and **Thiru. N.Manojkumar, Public Prosecutor**, represented for State, upon perusing the petition submitted and upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounced the following:-

COMMON ORDER

Heard both side.

The petitioner in the respective petitions are the accused in Crime No.187/2026 of Sundarapuram Police Station, for the alleged offences u/s.178, 179, 180, 181, 182 of BNS and Sec.8(c), 22(a), 27(b) of NDPS Act, they have filed these three petitions separately, seeking grant of bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner in C.M.P.No.2294/2026 and C.M.P.No.2314/2026 has submitted that both the petitioners are arrayed as A-2 and A-3 respectively and they have been falsely roped in this case based on the confession given by A-1. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner in the respective petitions. The learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner in the respective petitions are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case and they never indulged in any such activities as alleged and they are ready to abide any condition and they are in judicial custody for the past 61 days and prayed to enlarge the petitioner in the respective petitions on bail.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner in C.M.P.No.2362/2026 submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the offence and he has been arrested only on suspicion and he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged and he never indulged in any such activities as alleged and he is ready to abide any condition and he is in judicial custody for the past 9 days and prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. Opposed to grant bail to the petitioner, the learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that there are five accused involved in this case. **The petitioner in C.M.P.No.2294/2026 is arrayed as A-2. The petitioner in C.M.P.No.2314/2026 is arrayed as A-3. The petitioner in C.M.P.No.2362/2026 is arrayed as A-5. Already this Court dismissed the bail petition filed by co-accused.** A-1 to A-3 were arrested. A-4 and A-5 are still absconding. The defacto complainant is the Sub-Inspector of Police. All the accused are known persons. A-3 is a convicted accused in Coimbatore in the year 1999. On the date of occurrence, i.e., on 17-05-2026 at 12.00 hours, the respondent police involved in vehicle check-up, at that time, they intercepted the two wheeler bearing Regn.No.TN 14E 3463 and on seeing the police party, the person who drove the vehicle [A-1] had tried to escape from that place and the police caught hold him red-handedly and on enquiry it came to know that he had been in illegal possession of drugs. Based on the confession of A-1, the respondent police went to A-1's house and found that A-1 to A-3 joined together involved in printing counterfeit currency notes and they illegally possessed 180 numbers of Rs.200/- currency notes and also possessed

150 numbers of Tapendol Tablets without proper prescription which is used for intoxication purpose. During the course of investigation, it came to know that A-1 and A-2 purchased fake currency note printing machine from A-3 and all the accused shared the commission amount and further A-1 purchased 150 numbers of Tapendol tablets from A-4 and A-5 and A-1 purchased 2 gram Methamphetamine. After arrest, the respondent police seized 150 numbers of Tapendol Tablets, 2 grams of Methamphetamine, 180 numbers of Rs.200/- fake currency notes, Epson Xerox machine and one two wheeler. **Except the present case, the petitioner in C.M.P.No.2294/2026 is involved in 7 other cases. The petitioner in C.M.P.No.2314/2025 is involved in another case. The petitioner in C.M.P.No.2362/2026 is involved in another case.** The learned Public Prosecutor has further submitted that as far as the petitioner in C.M.P.No.2294/2026 is concerned, he is the friend of A-1 and he is having the skill of printing fake currency notes in Bangalore. A-2 and A-3 are used to circulate the fake currency notes among the public. The petitioner in C.M.P.No.2314/2026 is concerned, he is a convicted accused in connection with Bomb blast case. A-4 and A-5 are concerned, they are the friend of A-1. A-5 used to circulate and sell the tablets to the college students and others which were received from A-1. The learned Public Prosecutor has further submitted that in this case, after completing investigation, the respondent police filed final report on 13-07-2026.

5. After considering the submissions, considering the nature of offence, though the petitioner in C.M.P.No.2294/2026 and 2314/2026 are in judicial custody for the past 61 days and the respondent police filed charge sheet, having regard to the nature of allegation leveled against the petitioner in the respective petitions, considering the nature of items recovered in this case and considering the bad antecedents of the petitioner in the respective petitions, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner in the respective petitions.

Hence, three petitions are dismissed.

This common order is dictated to steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 16th day of July, 2026.

I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, (FAC)
COIMBATORE.

CC to :

1. The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Madukkarai.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
3. The Inspector of Police, Sundarapuram Police Station,
4. Counsel for the Petitioner in the respective petitions / Accused.