

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
COIMBATORE.**

**Present: Tmt. G. VIJAYA, B.A., M.L., PGDCFS,  
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, COIMBATORE**

**Tuesday, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026**

**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.917/2026**

1. V. Senthilkumar ( Age 52 years)  
S/o. Visakan.
  
2. S. Visaka Rohit Kumar (Age 28 years),  
S/o. Senthilkumar. ... Petitioners /A-1 & A-2.

/vs/

State, Rep. by  
through the Inspector of Police,  
**RS Puram Police Station,**  
**Crime No.139/2026.**

**Offences:u/s.296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.**

Through the Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore. ... Respondent  
(The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore.)

This petition has been filed through **e-Filing** on behalf of the petitioners to release them on anticipatory bail u/s.482 of BNSS.

This petition is coming on this day for final hearing before this Court in the presence of **Tvl. K. Myilvaganan, M. Muthukumar and R. Kapil**, Advocates for the Petitioners/ A-1 & A-2 and **Tvl.R.Nizam Mydeen, M.Gowtham and M.Arunkumar**, Advocates for the defacto complainant/intervener [CMP No.953/2026] and **Thiru. V. Ravichandran, Public Prosecutor**, represented for State, upon perusing the petition submitted and upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounced the following:-

**ORDER**

**Heard both side.**

The petitioners are the accused in Crime No.139/2026 of RS Puram Police Station, since they are apprehending arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the alleged u/s.296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act, they have filed this petition, seeking grant of Anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the defacto complainant is none other than wife of the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and mother of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner. The petitioners have not involved in this crime as alleged and they are innocent and they apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police and they are ready to abide any conditions imposed by this Court and pray for grant of anticipatory bail to them.

3. The learned counsel for the defacto complainant has submitted that A-1 and the defacto complainant are living separately from each other for the past 5 years due to differences of opinion. On 13-11-2025, when the defacto complainant was in the place of occurrence, the accused assaulted her and caused injuries to her and therefore, she lodged complaint before the Sathyamangalam PS in Crime No.608/2025. Thereafter, with no other efficacious remedy, she was constrained to issue legal notice to A-1 seeking dissolution of marriage. While so, on the date of occurrence, the accused came to the place of occurrence and abused her in filthy language and damaged the objects in the clinic and assaulted her. Hence she lodged the present complaint and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that A-1 and the defacto complainant are husband and wife. A-2 is the son of them. Due to difference of opinion, the defacto complainant and A-1 are living separately from each other. On 22-10-2025, the accused went to the place of occurrence and quarrelled with the defacto complainant and later the matter was resolved on the intervention of the police, hence FIR was not registered. While so, on the date of occurrence, both the accused went to the place of occurrence and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted her by using sharp object and caused injuries to her. Due to which, the victim was admitted in the hospital on 03-03-2026 and discharged from the hospital on 04-03-2026.

5. Heard. As per the prosecution case, on the date of occurrence, both the accused went to the place of occurrence and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted her by using sharp object and threatened her with dire consequences. In this case, the victim was discharged from the hospital. There is no previous case is attributed against the petitioners. Considering the submission, the facts and circumstances of the case, the relationship between the parties and the nature of dispute, the victim had already been discharged from the hospital, the petitioners have no previous case, since they apprehend arrest at the hands of the

respondent police, **this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:-**

- (i) Anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners.
- (ii) The petitioners shall execute bond for Rs.10,000/- each in the event of their arrest or the petitioners shall surrender before the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore and execute bond of Rs.10,000/- each within 15 days from the date of this order, failing which the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled.
- (iii) The petitioners shall produce two sureties for likesum each to the value of Rs.10,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore within 15 days from the date of this order, failing which the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled.
- (iv) The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
- (v) The petitioners are directed to sign before the respondent police, daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 15 days.**
- (vi) The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (vii) The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (viii) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji /vs/ State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.
- (ix) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

This order is dictated to steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
COIMBATORE.

**CC to :**

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
3. The Inspector of Police, RS Puram Police Station,
4. Counsel for the Petitioners/ Accused.
5. Counsel for the defacto complainant/intervener.